









About AJEST

African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology (AJEST) provides rapid publication (monthly) of articles in all areas of the subject such as Biocidal activity of selected plant powders, evaluation of biomass gasifier, green energy, Food technology etc. The Journal welcomes the submission of manuscripts that meet the general criteria of significance and scientific excellence. Papers will be published shortly after acceptance. All articles are peer-reviewed

Indexing

The African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology is indexed in:

CAB Abstracts, CABI's Global Health Database, Chemical Abstracts (CAS Source Index), China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), Dimensions Database, Google Scholar, Matrix of Information for The Analysis of Journals (MIAR), Microsoft Academic

AJEST has an h5-index of 14 on Google Scholar Metrics

Open Access Policy

Open Access is a publication model that enables the dissemination of research articles to the global community without restriction through the internet. All articles published under open access can be accessed by anyone with internet connection.

The African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology is an Open Access journal. Abstracts and full texts of all articles published in this journal are freely accessible to everyone immediately after publication without any form of restriction.

Article License

All articles published by African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology are licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. This permits anyone to copy, redistribute, remix, transmit and adapt the work provided the original work and source is appropriately cited. Citation should include the article DOI. The article license is displayed on the abstract page the following statement:

This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 Please refer to https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode for details about Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0

Article Copyright

When an article is published by in the African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology, the author(s) of the article retain the copyright of article. Author(s) may republish the article as part of a book or other materials. When reusing a published article, author(s) should; Cite the original source of the publication when reusing the article. i.e. cite that the article was originally published in the African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology. Include the article DOI Accept that the article remains published by the African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology (except in occasion of a retraction of the article) The article is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

A copyright statement is stated in the abstract page of each article. The following statement is an example of a copyright statement on an abstract page.

Copyright ©2016 Author(s) retains the copyright of this article.

Self-Archiving Policy

The African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology is a RoMEO green journal. This permits authors to archive any version of their article they find most suitable, including the published version on their institutional repository and any other suitable website. Please see http://www.sherpa.ac.uk/romeo/search.php?issn=1684-5315

Digital Archiving Policy

The African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology is committed to the long-term preservation of its content. All articles published by the journal are preserved by Portico. In addition, the journal encourages authors to archive the published version of their articles on their institutional repositories and as well as other appropriate websites.

https://www.portico.org/publishers/ajournals/

Metadata Harvesting

The African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology encourages metadata harvesting of all its content. The journal fully supports and implement the OAI version 2.0, which comes in a standard XML format. See Harvesting Parameter

Memberships and Standards



Academic Journals strongly supports the Open Access initiative. Abstracts and full texts of all articles published by Academic Journals are freely accessible to everyone immediately after publication.

© creative commons

All articles published by Academic Journals are licensed under the <u>Creative Commons</u> <u>Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)</u>. This permits anyone to copy, redistribute, remix, transmit and adapt the work provided the original work and source is appropriately cited.



<u>Crossref</u> is an association of scholarly publishers that developed Digital Object Identification (DOI) system for the unique identification published materials. Academic Journals is a member of Crossref and uses the DOI system. All articles published by Academic Journals are issued DOI.

<u>Similarity Check</u> powered by iThenticate is an initiative started by CrossRef to help its members actively engage in efforts to prevent scholarly and professional plagiarism. Academic Journals is a member of Similarity Check.

<u>CrossRef Cited-by</u> Linking (formerly Forward Linking) is a service that allows you to discover how your publications are being cited and to incorporate that information into your online publication platform. Academic Journals is a member of <u>CrossRef Cited-by</u>.



Academic Journals is a member of the <u>International Digital Publishing Forum (IDPF</u>). The IDPF is the global trade and standards organization dedicated to the development and promotion of electronic publishing and content consumption.

Contact

Editorial Office:	ajest@academicjournals.org
Help Desk:	helpdesk@academicjournals.org
Website:	http://www.academicjournals.org/journal/AJEST
Submit manuscript online	http://ms.academicjournals.org

Academic Journals 73023 Victoria Island, Lagos, Nigeria ICEA Building, 17th Floor, Kenyatta Avenue, Nairobi, Kenya.

Editors

Dr. Guoxiang Liu Energy & Environmental Research Center (EERC) University of North Dakota (UND) North Dakota 58202-9018 USA

Prof. Okan Külköylüoglu Faculty of Arts and Science Department of Biology Abant Izzet Baysal University Turkey.

Dr. Abel Ramoelo Conservation services, South African National Parks, South Africa.

Editorial Board Members

Dr. Manoj Kumar Yadav

Department of Horticulture and Food Processing Ministry of Horticulture and Farm Forestry India.

Dr. Baybars Ali Fil

Environmental Engineering Balikesir University Turkey.

Dr. Antonio Gagliano

Department of Electrical, Electronics and Computer Engineering University of Catania Italy.

Dr. Yogesh B. Patil

Symbiosis Centre for Research & Innovation Symbiosis International University Pune, India.

Prof. Andrew S Hursthouse University of the West of Scotland United Kingdom.

Dr. Hai-Linh Tran National Marine Bioenergy R&D Consortium Department of Biological Engineering College of Engineering Inha University Korea.

Dr. Prasun Kumar Chungbuk National University, South Korea.

Dr. Daniela Giannetto Department of Biology Faculty of Sciences Mugla Sitki Koçman University Turkey.

Dr. Reem Farag Application department, Egyptian Petroleum Research Institute, Egypt.

Table of Content

Water quality evaluation using water quality index and pollution model in selected communities in Gbaramatu Kingdom, Niger Delta, Nigeria	110
Kabari Sam, Amarachi Paschaline Onyena, Ochuko Joshua Eriegha and Felix Eze	110
Quality of water resources of Mount Lubwe and its access in a context of landscape anthropization in the Butembo region, East of DR Congo	135
Kyoghero KASEREKA SHUKURU, Charles MUMBERE MUSAVANDALO, Musongora KAMBALE MUYISA, Moise MUSUBAO KAPIRI, Gloire KAYITOGHERA MULONDI, Kouagou Raoul SAMBIENI and Francis LELO NZUZI	

Vol. 17(6), pp. 118-134, June 2023 DOI: 10.5897/AJEST2023.3193 Article Number: CD6C83F70841 ISSN: 1996-0786 Copyright ©2023 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article http://www.academicjournals.org/AJEST

ACADEMIC JOURNALS

Full Length Research Paper

Water quality evaluation using water quality index and pollution model in selected communities in Gbaramatu Kingdom, Niger Delta, Nigeria

Kabari Sam^{1,2}*, Amarachi Paschaline Onyena¹, Ochuko Joshua Eriegha³ and Felix Eze³

¹Department of Marine Environment and Pollution Control, Nigeria Maritime University Okerenkoko, Warri, Nigeria. ²School of Environment, Geography and Geoscience, University of Portsmouth, Burnaby Road, Portsmouth PO1 3QL, UK.

³Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture, Nigeria Maritime University Okerenkoko, Warri, Nigeria.

Received 2 May, 2023; Accepted 5 June, 2023

Drinking water quality is a critical factor affecting human health particularly in natural resourcedependent countries including Nigeria. Hydrocarbon related pollution, mining waste, microbial load, industrial discharge and other anthropogenic stressors degrade drinking water guality in coastal communities and pose serious public health and ecological risks. This study evaluated the physicochemical properties of drinking water in selected communities (Okerenkoko, Kurutie, and Oporoza) located in Gbaramatu Kingdom, in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria, in order to assess the water quality using the Water Quality Index (WQI) and pollution models. Nitrate, Chromium, Cadmium, Copper, Lead, Aluminium, pH, Total Hardness, Total Dissolved Solids, Cyanide, and Residual Chlorine were measured in twelve selected locations across the three communities. The WQI results of the analyzed water samples in the area indicated that they exceeded the critical WQI value of 100, with a mean pH of 8.11 ± 0.32, indicating unsuitability for consumption. Nickel ranging from 0.014 to 0.176 mg/L and residual chlorine 11.6 to 7407 mg/L were the major contributors to the degradation of water quality and exceeded the WHO recommended limit of 0.02 and 0.25 respectively. While groundwater had better organoleptic properties compared to surface and rain water, the geo-accumulation index showed that water sources in the area vary from moderately to heavily contaminated with Ni and Cd. These WQI and pollution model results necessitate an urgent response from local stakeholders to address the water quality deterioration, such as providing alternative water supplies, to minimize the potential health risks to the local population.

Key words: Water quality index, contamination index, oil pollution, chemical parameters, geo-accumulation index.

INTRODUCTION

Access to safe and potable drinking water is a basic need of mankind and a human right, including health and food.

This justifies the United Nations Sustainable Development Goal 6, which seeks to achieve access to clean water

*Corresponding author. E-mail: Kabari.sam@port.ac.uk.

Author(s) agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 International License

and sanitation for all by 2030. The goal seeks to improve water quality by limiting contamination, eliminating dumping and reducing release of chemical substances and materials into the water, to increase safe use and reuse of water globally (WHO, 2019; UNEP, 2021).

Water is needed and used globally by humans irrespective of nationality, tribe, region, religion, color or societal status because it is one of the greatest factors that determine human health and development (Li and Wu, 2019; Delpla et al., 2020). Despite its importance, the quality of available drinking water is often compromised due to pressures exerted on it by growing population, agricultural production, natural resource exploration and mining, urbanization, and industrialization (Naeem et al., 2013; Li and Wu, 2019). With increasing climate change challenges, rivers drying up, and wetlands being reclaimed, the continuous pollution of water resources by anthropogenic activities has cumulative impacts on humans. Anthropogenic activities including dumping of mixed waste in water bodies, onshore and offshore hydrocarbon spillages, and open defecation contribute potentially toxic elements (PTEs) to water resources (Naeem et al., 2013). Hydrocarbon contamination for example, exposes surface and underground water to toxic elements including benzene (which is a carcinogenic substance), and affects the quality of drinking water (UNEP, 2011). Considering that water quality is a health determinant, consumption of water contaminated either by biological or chemical means may likely pose serious health risks to public health. An estimated 2.3 billion people suffer from waterborne diseases globally (Ahmed et al., 2020), while 485,000 people die from diarrhoea as a result of contaminated drinking water yearly (WHO, 2019). The World Health Organization (WHO) reports that water contamination contributes to 70% of different diseases and 20% of cancers on a global scale (WHO, 2022).

Discharge of domestic and industrial effluent wastes, leakage from water tanks, marine dumping, and radioactive waste into water bodies constitute contamination, and degrades water quality. When this happens, these water bodies accumulate heavy metals and pose harm to humans, animals and entire ecosystem. The toxicity of PTEs or specifically, heavy metals (for example, cadmium, zinc, lead, copper, manganese, magnesium, iron, arsenic, silver, and chromium) from mining, smelting or hydrocarbon exploration activities can have lethal and harmful effects on human health and the ecosystem (Vanloon and Duffy, 2005). In addition, toxins in industrial waste have been identified as a major cause of immune suppression, cancer, reproductive failure and acute poisoning. Infectious diseases, like cholera, typhoid fever, dysentery, polio, trachoma, and abdominal pain (Juneja and Chauhdary, 2013) and other gastroenteritis, including diarrhea, vomiting, skin and kidney problem are spreading through contaminated water (Khan and Ghouri, 2011; Chima and Digha, 2009; Digha and Abua, 2016).

Considering the importance of water quality, many nations have developed systems and agencies to establish water quality monitoring programs. These systems help decision-makers to understand, interpret and use available data to enhance the protection of water resources (Behmel et al., 2016). As a result of effective monitoring and access to water quality data to protect resources and human health, many countries have reformed their water regulatory framework towards sustainable development as recommended by Agenda 21 (UNEP, 1992). In Nigeria for example, government have developed a number of initiatives to protect water resources. In November 2018, the Nigeria government declared a state of emergency in the water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) sector, as part of measures to protect increasingly degraded water resources and the upsurge of water borne diseases (Wada et al., 2021). However, this initiative is yet to yield desired outcomes due to limited finance, poor service delivery, lack of stakeholder collaboration and adhoc implementation (Musa et al., 2021). Nigeria intends to achieve 100% access to clean water and sanitation by 2030, with focus on rural communities. Although these efforts have focused on biological contaminants, achieving this will require significant investments in building necessary infrastructure, maintaining existing ones and awareness creation. Also, it will require a stringent monitoring of PTEs as they constitute a major contributor to water contamination. In terms of investment, Nigeria needs an estimated \$2.7 billion USD to achieve outlined targets by 2030 (Musa et al., 2021), and the government is expected to provide 25% of the funds, while 75% will be incurred by households to build toilets. Households in the face of the current economic woes are focused on basic needs (that is, shelter and food) and would likely continue open defecation in the nearest future.

THE NIGER DELTA AND WATER QUALITY

Since the late 1950s when Nigeria discovered commercial quantity of oil and commenced exportation, the Niger Delta region has experienced several oil spill incidences. For example, the 2008/09 Bodo oil spill affected surface and underground water sources, farmlands and impacted over 69,000 households (Pegg and Zabbey, 2013). In the last six decades of oil exploitation, the region has experienced several oil spills that have resulted in the contamination of over 4,000 sites, mostly affecting local communities. Specifically, within the Niger Delta region, Gbaramatu Kingdom host the Nigeria Maritime University, and constitute a hotspot for oil and gas exploratory activities, with attendant soot, hydrocarbon contamination, and locals in unplanned settlements along the Escravos coastline (Figure 1). Gbaramatu Kingdom



Figure 1. Gbaramatu Kingdom showing the sampling points. Source: Authors.

hosts many oil and gas infrastructures including oil and gas pipelines, gas flaring chimneys, oil wells, oil fields, oil drilling platforms, and sub-stations. The area is well known for mangrove degradation, and contaminated surface and underground water following oil activities in the area. Thus, constituents of hydrocarbon are the major sources of PTEs in water while biological contamination are caused by WASH related activities such as open defecation. Decline in water quality are primarily caused by PTEs and biological contamination in coastal communities. Most water contamination incidences reported in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria has been attributed to PTEs. This is because the region, which comprises nine states, situated at the apex of the Gulf of Guinea on the west coast of Africa, is the hub of oil and gas production in Nigeria (Sam et al., 2022). It is one of the most bio-diverse regions, with the largest wetland in Africa and second largest delta globally (Izah, 2018; Anwan et al., 2016), with ecologically sensitive areas including coastal barrier islands, mangrove swamps, lowland forest and fresh water swamps (Sam et al., 2017).

Within the Niger Delta region, and specifically, the coastal communities in Gbaramatu Kingdom, the provision of drinking water, and the determination of the quality of water consumed is an individual responsibility

(de Zeeuw et al., 2018). Individual households derive their drinking water from different sources depending primarily on economic status and social stratification, with no water guality monitoring or treatment measures. While most locals depend on surface waters and shallow boreholes as primary source of drinking water, the wealthy and influential people in the semi-urban areas derive drinking water from underground sources and provide a level of treatment before consumption. Due to the toxic and bio-accumulative nature of PTEs such as hydrocarbons and its constituents including benzene and phenols, communities that depend on surface and underground water sources for drinking water are exposed to potential ecological and public health risks. Understanding the status of drinking water quality using an empirical approach would provide scientific evidence for decision-making for protecting and managing water quality, and take immediate action where necessary. This would require the use of effective water quality assessment and pollution models to achieve reliable results, and enhance confidence in management decisions. Different water quality assessment strategies have been developed and applied (Tian and Wu, 2019; Su et al., 2019; Li and Wu, 2019). For example, Fathi et al. (2018) used a multivariate method and WQI to assess water quality in Baheshtabad River in Iran. Fatoba et al.

(2016) used a potential ecological risk assessment to evaluate water quality and ecological risk in Kokori and Kolo Creek while NPI analysis identified Cd, Ni, and Cr as the primary pollutant contributors. Owamah et al. (2020) also used WQI to evaluate the state of groundwater in the Emevor community in the Niger-Delta region of Nigeria. Despite the importance of water quality as a health determinant and parameter for measuring quality of life, most studies in the Niger Delta have focused on the impacts of hydrocarbon on water resources resulting in a dearth of data on the relative heavy metals toxicity and potential human health risk. Also, there is an unassuming lack of evidence in literature on the biology, ecology, physiology and hydrology of the Gbaramatu Kingdom, despite its strategic economic importance to the nation. This empirical study provides baseline datasets on water quality in the Gbaramatu Kingdom, and highlighted the potential health risk posed to human health and the environment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

Gbaramatu Kingdom in Nigeria's Niger Delta region is home to coastal communities such as Oporoza, Okerenkoko, Kurutie, Isaba, and Diebiri. It covers an area of 1,722 km² (665 sq mi) with an estimated population of 963,353. The majority of the population consists of farmers and fishermen living in scattered settlements along the Escravos coastline. The kingdom is also notable for hosting two campuses of the Nigeria Maritime University in Okerenkoko. The selected communities for this study are Okerenkoko, Kurutie, and Oporoza, located along the Escravos river coastline. These areas are economically important due to oil and gas infrastructure and exploratory activities, including shipping and oil platform movement. The communities were chosen based on their dense population, consumption of contaminated water, and high level of involvement in artisanal crude oil refining as a livelihood option (Sam et al., 2022; Sam and Zabbey, 2018; Naanen, 2019). Despite hosting significant oil infrastructure, the standard of living in these communities is remarkably low. Open and indiscriminate dumping of mixed waste along roadsides and riverbanks is common, leading to water contamination. Additionally, the use of agrochemicals without proper government control and weak waste management measures contribute to the contamination of water bodies. The area also suffers from visible atmospheric soot, oil spills on water and farmland, and gas flaring, all of which pose additional stressors on existing drinking water sources in the region. Gbaramatu Kingdom is located in Warri Southwest Local Government Area, Delta State, in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria. It comprises of several coastal communities including Oporoza, Okerenkoko, Kurutie, Isaba, Diebiri. With an estimated population of 963,353, covering a landmass of 1,722 km² (665 sq mi), the local population are predominantly farmers and fisherfolks, living in scattered settlements littered along the Escravos coastline (Figure 1). The Kingdom hosts two campuses of the Nigeria Maritime University, Okerenkoko. The communities in Gbaramatu Kingdom are situated in undulating mangroves and endowed with natural water sources like rivers and creeks. The study was conducted in three selected communities in the Kingdom including

Okerenkoko, Kurutie and Oporoza (Figure 1), located along the coastline of the Escravos river, which is of significant economic value to the nation and state government, considering the oil and gas infrastructure and exploratory activities (for example, shipping and moving oil platforms), undertaken in the area. These communities were selected because they are densely populated, consume smelly water and the area is highly oil-industrialized. Most importantly, due to lack of meaningful employment, a critical mass of youths is involved in artisanal crude oil refining activities (boiling stolen crude oil to derive petrol, diesel and kerosene), as a means of livelihood (Sam et al., 2022; Sam and Zabbey, 2018; Naanen, 2019). While this is a general practice in the Niger Delta region, its prevalence in coastal communities where access to crude oil pipelines is unhindered, is high (Naanen, 2019). Also, despite hosting significant oil infrastructure (for example, pipelines, well heads, flow stations and floating crude oil platforms) and their contributions to national economy, the standard of living is extremely low. For example, they practice open and indiscriminate dumping of mixed wastes along road sides and river banks. These wastes end up in water bodies during rainfall thereby contributing to the contamination level in water bodies. Considering limited government control on the use of agrochemicals and the weak waste management measures, the local population apply unquantifiable amount of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, urea and manganese fertilizers to support agricultural yield. The cumulative effect of conventional and illegal oil exploration activities in the area has resulted in visible soot (particulate matter) in the atmosphere, oil spills on surface water and farmlands, and gas flaring, thus increasing anthropogenic stressors pressuring existing drinking water sources in the area.

Sample collection and analyses

Groundwater samples were collected from major points in each of the selected communities alongside their surface water. A total of twelve points were sampled (Table 1), and the water samples were collected in duplicates. The water samples were collected during the peak of the rainy season in June 2022. A total of 24 water samples were collected in airtight plastic containers sterilized with ethylene oxide gas, stored in a refrigerator, and transported in ice to environmental laboratory for analysis. The organoleptic properties (color, taste and odor) of the water samples were determined by sensory analysis, while the physicochemical analysis of the water samples was done using the standard method of APHA (2017). The parameters measured include pH-pH meter, conductivityconductivity meter, Dissolved Oxygen -Winkler's method, alkalinityacidimetric titration method, nitrate-sodium salicylate method, residual chlorine-titration using potassium iodide, cyanide-direct spectrophotometric method using a picric acid reagent, total dissolved solids (TDS)-evaporation method (APHA method 2540 C), and total hardness (TH)-EDTA titrimetric method. The heavy metals, Cd, Pb, Ni, Cu, Zn, Fe, Al, and Cr, were determined by flame atomic absorption spectrometry (APHA, 2017).

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was conducted using the ORIGIN 2021 statistical application. Descriptive statistics were used to calculate the mean, standard deviation, and range of the physicochemical properties. Principal component analysis (PCA) determined the existence of multi-collinearity between the variables measured. Water contamination was assessed using the Water Quality Index (WQI), the Geo Accumulation Index (Igeo), and Nemerow Pollution

S/N	Sample Stations	Sample code	Latitude	Longitude
1.	Okerenkoko Staff Quarters	OKO-1	5.621193	5.388012
2.	George's Quarter	OKO-2	5.629490	5.393379
3.	Well water	OKO-3	5.626549	5.392250
4.	Okerenkoko River	OKO-4	5.620496	5.389960
5.	Rain water	OKO-5	5.626644	5.391647
6.	Kurutie community water	KRU-1	5.580183	5.344223
7.	Kurutie Students' hostel	KRU-2	5.576934	5.341597
8.	Kurutie Staff Quarters	KRU-3	5.578266	5.578266
9.	Kurutie River	KRU-4	5.576934	5.341597
10.	Locally produced sachet water	OPZ-1	5.597251	5.278256
11.	Oporoza treated water	OPZ-2	5.59845	5.27706
12.	Oporoza River	OPZ-3	5.601064	5.277341

Table 1. Sample stations and coordinates.

Source: Authors

Index (PN).

Water Quality Index (WQI)

The water quality index (WQI) is a measure that is used to evaluate the status of water over a period of time. WQI transforms data on water quality into information that can be understood by the general public. Odia and Nwaogazie (2017) and Nwaogazie et al. (2018) have utilized WQI to evaluate water quality. The equation is given as:

$$WQI = \frac{\sum QjWj}{\sum wj}$$
(1)

The quality score scale (Qj) for each parameter is calculated via Equation (2):

$$Qj = \frac{Vj - V_0}{Sj - V_0} X \, 100$$
 (2)

where: v_j is the expected concentration of the nth parameter in water samples analysed; v_0 is the optimal value of evaluated water parameter in a sample of normal water which is usually zero except pH = 7.0 and dissolved oxygen, DO = 14 mg/l, s_j is the standard value specified for the nth parameter which for this study was World Health Organization (WHO, 2011; 2017) for drinking water quality.

The unit weight (wj) for each water quality parameter is evaluated using:

$$Wj = \frac{\kappa}{s_j}$$
(3)

where k = proportionality constant and is evaluated by:

$$K = \frac{1}{\Sigma_{\overline{Sj}}^1}$$
(4)

The classification of the index ranges from 0 to 100 (Excellent to unsuitable water quality) depending on the values scored.

Geo-accumulation index (Igeo)

The Igeo measures the degree of toxicity of heavy metals of interest (Muller, 1969). There are seven grades of the index, ranging from 0 to 6, with each grade having its own unique number of points (Uncontaminated to extremely contaminated). It is calculated as:

$$lgeo = \log_2 \frac{c_n}{\frac{1.5xB_n}{1.5xB_n}}$$
(5)

Where, C_n is the mean concentration of the ith heavy metal in the water samples analyzed. B_n is the reference value.

Nemerow pollution index (NPI)

The Nemerow Pollution Index, also known as Row's Pollution Index, determines the total pollutant level and considers the properties of the analyzed water samples (Hakanson, 1980; Liu et al., 2017). It is calculated using the formula below:

$$NPI = \frac{C_n}{sn}$$
(6)

where C_n = concentration of the nth parameter, S_n = prescribed maximum values of the nth parameter. Here, NPI ≤ 1 variables are responsible for only a minimal amount of water pollution while NPI>1 parameter associated to water contamination are found to be present in excess amounts.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Organoleptic and chemical properties of water samples

The results of the organoleptic properties showed that all the water samples from the groundwater were unobjectionable in taste, odour and colour except for OKO-5 which was rainwater. In contrast, samples from

Communities	Sample ID	Appearance	Taste	Odour
Okerenkoko (OKO)				
Staff Quarters	OKO-1	Clear	Unobjectionable	Unobjectionable
George's Quarter	OKO-2	Clear	Unobjectionable	Unobjectionable
Well water	OKO-3	Clear	Unobjectionable	Unobjectionable
River	OKO-4	Brown	Objectionable	Objectionable
Rain water	OKO-5	Light Brown	Objectionable	Objectionable
Kurutie (KRU)				
General community water	KRU-1	Clear	Unobjectionable	Unobjectionable
Students' hostel	KRU-2	Clear	Unobjectionable	Unobjectionable
Staff Quarters	KRU-3	Clear	Unobjectionable	Unobjectionable
River	KRU-4	Darkish green	Objectionable	Objectionable
Oporoza (OPZ)				
Locally produced sachet water	OPZ-1	Clear	Unobjectionable	Unobjectionable
General community treated water	OPZ-2	Clear	Unobjectionable	Unobjectionable
River	OPZ-3	Objectionable	Objectionable	Objectionable

Table 2. Organoleptic properties of drinking water samples.

Source: Authors



Figure 2. Spatial changes in some selected physicochemical parameters in the water samples. Source: Authors.

the river had poor aesthetic standards (Table 2). The results of the concentrations of various physicochemical parameters characterized for the water quality assessment are summarized in Table 3. The mean. standard deviation, and standard values for each characterized parameter of the stations were also outlined. Each of the samples exhibited pH and alkalinity levels that were lower than the threshold values established by the World Health Organization (WHO, 2011; 2017). The water samples indicated a pH of 8.11 ± 0.32, and thus the water indicates alkaline. The pH level could be caused by minute quantities of dissolved minerals which allows the solubility and bioavailability of other compounds, particularly heavy metals, which are harmful to humans. OKO-4 indicated excessive acidic concentrations (41.4 mg/l) which is greater than the WHO-permissible limit of 8.5 mg/L. Research has shown that acidic water has a greater propensity to retain additional contaminants that are hazardous to human health (Afonne et al., 2020; de Meyer et al., 2017). Edet and Offion (2002) reported that leaching of altered rocks into groundwater by acidic rains could cause ground water acidity. The acidic nature of OKO-4 station could be attributed to organic particles deposited in the atmosphere of the community (for example, soot), which could have contributed to the acidic makeup of the water. Except for the samples taken from the brackish ecosystem (OKO-4, KRU-4, and OPZ-3) (Figure 2) the

Parameter	OKO-1	0KO- 2	OKO-3	OKO-4	OKO-5	KRU-1	KRU-2	KRU-3	KRU-4	OPZ-1	OPZ-2	OPZ-3	Max	Min	Mean	SD	WHO (2011;2017)
рН	8.17	8.09	8.13	8.25	8.2	8.19	8.18	8.19	7.13	8.45	8.22	8.1	8.45	7.13	8.11	0.32	6.5-8.5
SAL	0.06	0.17	0.1	5.25	0.01	0.11	0.12	0.11	4.67	0.23	0.18	6.94	6.94	0.01	1.5	2.54	0
Alkalinity (mg/L)	6.75	5.4	9.45	243	2.7	13.5	10.8	16.2	203	6.75	18.9	216	243	2.7	62.7	95.76	600
Acidity (mg/L)	4	5.05	4.51	41.4	2.08	6.5	2.54	5	4.06	2.5	5.52	7.6	41.4	2.08	7.56	10.78	8.5
R-CI (mg/L)	37	69.4	23.1	7407	11.6	57.9	50.9	48.6	5787	34.7	116	6944	7407	11.6	1715.6	3034.39	0.25
Mg (mg/L)	5.88	9.81	58.8	3627	17.7	39.2	19.6	39.2	980	19.6	15.7	3598	3627	5.88	702.54	1386.51	70
Hardness (mg/L)	6.82	19.8	19.6	992	3.68	12.3	12.8	11.9	1134	18.5	20.2	1143	1143	3.68	282.88	487.87	425
COND. (µS/cm)	85.25	247.5	245	12400	46	153.75	160	148.75	14175	231.25	252.5	14287.5	14287.5	46	3536	6098.43	2500
TDS (mg/L)	68.2	198	196	9920	36.8	123	128	119	11340	185	202	11430	11430	36.8	2828.8	4878.75	1000
TURB (NTU)	0	0	2.19	2.34	1.8	1.44	0.62	0	0	0	0	3.95	3.95	0	0.83	1.27	5
DO (mg/L)	5.11	5.54	1.92	1.03	5.13	5.62	4.57	4.53	3.24	4.81	5.72	2.44	5.72	1.03	4.14	1.59	6
BOD (mg/L)	0.87	0.41	7.97	8.45	1.98	0.43	0.43	1.1	2.89	0.85	1.85	12.8	12.8	0.41	3.34	4.09	3
NIT (mg/L)	1.81	1.93	2.16	2.34	1.74	1.91	1.65	2.01	2.09	1.28	1.81	1.96	2.34	1.28	1.89	0.27	50
SUL (mg/L)	0.33	7.66	121	181	6.57	1.97	1.64	2.47	167	5.25	8.22	121	181	0.33	52.01	72.41	250
PHOS (mg/L)	0.49	0.41	0.59	0.55	0.61	0.61	0.55	0.56	0.63	0.58	0.53	0.48	0.63	0.41	0.55	0.06	2
TOC (%)	0.12	0.82	2.11	2.53	0.24	0.22	0.28	0.55	0.96	0.13	0.42	9.98	9.98	0.12	1.53	2.77	2
TOM (%)	0.26	1.76	4.54	5.44	0.52	0.47	0.60	1.18	2.06	0.28	0.90	21.46	21.457	0.258	3.29	5.96	200
Cy (mg/L)	0	0	0.012	0.018	0.004	0	0.004	0.003	0	0	0	0.01	0.018	0	0	0.01	0.05
AI (mg/L)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.008	0	0.369	0.369	0	0.03	0.11	0.2
Pb(mg/L)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0	0	-	-	-
Cu(mg/L)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0	0	-	-	-
Ni (mg/L)	0.056	0.067	ND	0.134	0.014	0.038	0.033	0.028	0.171	0.024	0.037	0.158	0.171	0.014	0.06	0.06	0.02
Zn (mg/L)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0	0			
Cd (mg/L)	ND	0.135	ND	0.169	0.157	ND	ND	ND	0.239	ND	0.005	0.369	0.369	0.005	0.09	0.12	0.005
Cr (mg/L)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0	0	-	-	-
Fe (mg/L)	0.006	ND	1.605	0.561	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.376	ND	0.436	0.458	1.605	0.006	0.29	0.47	0.3

Table 3. The mean result of the physicochemical parameters studied in the different water samples.

Source: Authors

levels of total hardness (TH), magnesium, conductivity, and total dissolved solids (TDS) in the samples taken from groundwater and sachet water (that is, drinking water bought from vendors

but produced in the communities) were below their respective limits values.

The presence of contaminants can alter the appearance, odour, and taste of water. The

organoleptic properties of the water samples indicated that the groundwater sources in the area might not contain decomposed or suspended matter, colloidal substances, or chemical



Figure 3. Nutrient concentrations in different water samples. Source: Authors

contaminants.

Locals typically consider drinking water with unpleasant organoleptic qualities even when these waters are not safe for consumption (Afonne et al., 2020; Morales et al., 2020), because they do not have alternatives. Residual chlorine was high in all the sample locations and was above permissible limits. Although cyanide concentration was below the WHO set limits (WHO, 2011; 2017), its presence is an indication of industrial activities in the area. With crude oil pipelines crisscrossing the area and moving oil exploration platforms littering the waterways, there is a high possibility of large spills of cyanide and chlorinated compounds which would end up in drinking water sources (Glotov et al., 2018; Pérez-Vidal et al., 2020; Sam et al., 2017). Cyanide is a potentially toxic compound and is a fast-acting poison that can be lethal (Manoj et al., 2020). Thus, coastal communities consuming cyanide contaminated water are exposed to potential human health risk. The different water samples from the river indicated hardness due to the presence of a variety of heavy metals and minerals in them. This corroborates the findings of Afonne et al. (2020) and Eyankware et al. (2020), and would lead to scale formation on boilers, poor lather formation, and mineral build-up on equipment. The results in all the stations indicated levels of nitrates, phosphates and sulphates although they were within the permissible limit set by WHO (Table 3 and Figure 3). Significant sources of nitrate include chemical fertilizers, decayed vegetation, animal matter and domestic effluents (Adesakin et al., 2020). Phosphate concentrations ranging from 0.41 to 0.63 mg/L

from all the water sources could be attributed to human and animal sewage, agricultural run-off, chemical and fertilizer manufacturing, and detergents. As described earlier, open defecation is a common practice in the area, and could have contributed to levels of phosphate (Ugada and Momoh, 2022). Similarly, the presence of sulphate ranging from 0.33 to 181 mg/L could be attributed to mineral dissolution, atmospheric deposition and other anthropogenic sources (for example, mining, fertilizer, oil and gas exploration and production), which are associated with the study area. However, these activities did not elevate the concentration of sulphate above the WHO guideline of 250 mg/L. WHO reported that excess nitrate concentration in drinking water is considered hazardous for infants because it reduces nitrite in the intestinal tract causing methaemoglobinaemia, and result in abortion in pregnant women (WHO, 2003; Sherris et al., 2021). Although phosphate is not harmful to humans, excessive intake and accumulation may lead to ill-health. Digestive problems could occur from extreme levels of phosphate. Infants are sensitive to sulphate than adults, leading to diarrhoea and dehydration. Nitrates and phosphates are limiting nutrients for the proliferation of eutrophication and harmful algal growth leading to ecosystem degradation.

In aquatic ecosystems, spatial variations exist with respect to physical, chemical, and biological characteristics. thus the relevance of ecosystem composition, diversity, monitoring. The survival, behaviour, and physiology of aquatic organisms are influenced by dissolved oxygen (Onyena et al., 2021).



Figure 4. Spatial changes in the physicochemical properties in the water samples. Source: Authors

Dissolved oxygen levels in all study stations ranged from 1.03 to 5.72 mg/L (Table 3) and the mean dissolved oxygen value of 4.14±1.59 mg/L, was less than the WHO standard of 6 mg/L. Dissolved oxygen (DO) plays a significant role in biological processes and is one of the most important indicators of good water quality and it is a critical parameter for survival of fish and other aquatic organisms (Amakiri et al., 2022). High DO levels in drinking water indicate a better taste than areas with lower DO levels, however, it can damage industrial components, including corrosion in water pipes. High BOD was recorded in samples from the river (OKO-4, OPZ-3) and well water (OKO-3). A high BOD is connected to a low DO, which puts aquatic organisms under stress. The mean BOD value of 3.3±4.09mg/L could be attributed to the high organic compounds in the effluent discharged into the river and the high concentration of aerobic bacteria that biodegrade the wastes (Adesakin et al., 2020). The increase in the BOD levels in the study stations and that of the well water source (OKO-3) indicates the presence of aquatic plants, which decreases the amount of DO through photosynthesis. Study stations OKO-3, OKO-4 and OPZ-3, recorded TOC concentrations higher than the permissible limits (Figure 4). Increased carbon or organic content increases the rate of oxygen utilization. A high organic content means an increase in the growth of microorganisms which contributes to oxygen depletion. Comparatively, the stations with highest TOC levels also recorded increasing BOD values. WHO established that turbidity of drinking water should not be more than 5 NTU and should ideally be less than 1 NTU. Most of the water samples in this study recorded 0 NTU, except for OKO-3, 4, 5, KRU-1, and OPZ-3 whose values were higher than 1 NTU. The turbidity levels across the sampled stretch were low compared to the range of 0.10–500.00 NTU and 0.04–310.00 NTU obtained by Omo-Irabor et al. (2008) in groundwater and surface water, respectively, from western Niger Delta, Nigeria, and Onyena et al. (2021) who recorded 18.5 NTU from a surface water creek around the present study area. High turbidity in a water source can harbor microbial pathogens, which could be deleterious, thus creating health risks to inhabitants who consume water from these sources either directly or indirectly.

Zn, Cr, Cu, and Pb concentrations were below the detection limit, while Al, Ni, Cd, and Fe concentrations were above the respective WHO guideline values in some of the sample stations (Table 2). Nickel concentrations were above the WHO guideline value in all the surface water samples (rivers) and the groundwater samples (boreholes and sachet water) except in OKO-5 (rainwater). It is important to note that the common source of drinking water, either sachet or unpackaged in the study area is the borehole. Aluminium concentrations in the water in the study area are below the detection limit (ND) except for OPZ-1 and OPZ-3. Specifically, OPZ-3, a surface water source, recorded Aluminium concentration (0.369 mg/L) above the WHO guideline value of 0.2 mg/L. Cadmium concentrations were found in both



Figure 5. Heavy metal concentrations in different water samples. Source: Authors.

surface and groundwater sources, with more of the surface waters being contaminated than the groundwater. While cadmium significantly originates from hydrocarbon exploration and extraction, the dumping of mixed wastes containing batteries, and electronic waste, and the discharge of substances including paints, pigments and phosphate fertilizers into surface waters constitute to cadmium levels in the water bodies. Cadmium bioaccumulates in water and is considered toxic to aquatic life and humans. It has an impact on fish endocrine function and behaviour, which could impact breeding and fish population. Also, cadmium exposure lowers bone density and composition and poses cancer risk. Children exposed to cadmium are therefore more vulnerable due to their rapidly growing bones (MPCA, 2014). Cadmium poisoning can be caused by low and high doses and short-term to long exposures (ATSDR, 2012). Iron concentrations were also recorded but not detected in all the water from Kurutie community. Fe, Cd, and Ni concentrations were above the permissible limit set by WHO (Figure 5). The high concentrations of heavy metals in the water samples could be attributed to oil exploration activities in the area. Also, waste water discharge, run-offs, refuse dumps and agricultural activities may have contributed to elevated levels of hydrocarbon, given that the sample stations and the surrounding communities are predominantly islanded with no substantial and good waste management schemes. The rainy season, in which the samples were collected, and the topography of the area are also important factors that could contribute to the contamination of the water samples (Chen and Lu, 2014).

Water Quality Index (WQI)

The overall water quality of the study area was measured (Table 4). The results indicated sample stations are of water quality Class E and are unsuitable for consumption. Although groundwater sources indicated lesser quality (WQI= 139 to 758), surface water recorded a higher WQI of up to 4413. The two water sources are still unsuitable for human consumption as the WQI > 100. The WQI presents parameters in formats that can be understood by all stakeholders. The WQI values recorded in the study area are similar to other Niger Delta ecosystems and confirm the possible presence of contaminants in large quantities (Etim et al., 2013; Nwankwoala and Amachree, 2020; Onyena et al., 2022). The contaminants that affected the WQI could include the presence of heavy metals (Ni, Fe, and Cd), residual chlorine, TDS, conductivity, acidity and hardness that were above WHO set limits.

Geo-accumulation index (Igeo)

The result of the accumulation index is presented in Table 5. The concentration of heavy metals in Station OKO-1 was uncontaminated (Class 1) by any heavy metal studied. The concentration of Ni and Cd in Station

Table 4. Water quality index.

Parameter	OKO-1	OKO-2	OKO-3	OKO-4	OKO-5	KRU-1	KRU-2	KRU-3	KRU-4	OPZ-1	OPZ-2	OPZ-3
W/TEMP	0.006089	0.006089	0.006089	0.0060886	0.006089	0.006089	0.006089	0.006089	0.0060886	0.006089	0.006089	0.0060886
pН	0.039769	0.039379	0.039574	0.0401582	0.039915	0.039866	0.039817	0.039866	0.0347065	0.041132	0.040012	0.0394281
Alkalinity	6.59E-06	5.28E-06	9.23E-06	0.0002374	2.64E-06	1.32E-05	1.06E-05	1.58E-05	0.0001983	6.59E-06	1.85E-05	0.000211
Acidity	0.019471	0.024582	0.021953	0.2015213	0.010125	0.03164	0.012364	0.024338	0.0197627	0.012169	0.02687	0.0369943
CI	208.1998	390.5152	129.9842	41679.342	65.27344	325.8045	286.4154	273.4732	32563.569	195.2576	652.7344	39074.032
Mg	0.000422	0.000704	0.00422	0.2603215	0.00127	0.002814	0.001407	0.002814	0.0703378	0.001407	0.001127	0.2582401
Hardness	1.33E-05	3.86E-05	3.82E-05	0.0019315	7.17E-06	2.39E-05	2.49E-05	2.32E-05	0.002208	3.6E-05	3.93E-05	0.0022255
COND.	4.8E-06	1.39E-05	1.38E-05	0.0006978	2.59E-06	8.65E-06	9E-06	8.37E-06	0.0007976	1.3E-05	1.42E-05	0.000804
TDS	2.4E-05	6.96E-05	6.89E-05	0.0034888	1.29E-05	4.33E-05	4.5E-05	4.19E-05	0.0039882	6.51E-05	7.1E-05	0.0040198
TURB	0	0	0.030808	0.016459	0.025322	0.020257	0.008722	0	0	0	0	0.0555668
DO	0.04992	0.054121	0.018757	0.0100622	0.050116	0.054903	0.044645	0.044254	0.031652	0.04699	0.055879	0.0238367
BOD	0.033997	0.016021	0.31144	0.3301967	0.077372	0.016803	0.016803	0.042984	0.1129312	0.033215	0.072292	0.5001796
NIT	0.000255	0.000272	0.000304	0.0003292	0.000245	0.000269	0.000232	0.000283	0.000294	0.00018	0.000255	0.0002757
SUL	1.86E-06	4.31E-05	0.000681	0.0010185	3.7E-05	1.11E-05	9.23E-06	1.39E-05	0.0009397	2.95E-05	4.63E-05	0.0006809
PHOS	0.043082	0.036048	0.051874	0.0483572	0.053633	0.053633	0.048357	0.049236	0.055391	0.050995	0.046599	0.0422027
TOC	0.010551	0.072096	0.185516	0.2224432	0.021101	0.019343	0.024618	0.048357	0.0844053	0.01143	0.036927	0.8774635
ТОМ	2.27E-06	1.55E-05	3.99E-05	4.783E-05	4.54E-06	4.16E-06	5.29E-06	1.04E-05	1.815E-05	2.46E-06	7.94E-06	0.0001887
Су	0	0	1.688106	2.5321593	0.562702	0	0.562702	0.422027	0	0	0	1.4067552
AI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.070338	0	3.2443291
Ni	49.23643	58.90787	0	117.81575	12.30911	33.41044	29.01433	24.61822	150.34696	21.10133	32.53121	138.91707
Cd	0	1899.119	0	2377.4162	2208.606	0	0	0	3362.1449	0	70.33776	5190.9266
Fe	0.023446	0	6.271783	2.1921935	0	0	0	0	1.4692776	0	1.703737	1.7897052
Total WQI	257.66	2348.79	138.62	44180.43	2287.04	359.46	316.20	298.77	36077.95	216.63	757.59	44412.16

WQI values	Rating of water quality	Grade		
0 - 25	Excellent	А	Total WQ1>100	
26 - 50	Good	В	Class E	
51 - 75	Poor	С		
76 - 100	Very poor	D		
	Unsuitable	F		
	water quality	L		

*salinity was omitted. No ideal permissible standard for salinity. Source: Authors.

 Table 5. Geo-accumulation index.

НМ	OKO-1	OKO-2	OKO-3	OKO-4	OKO-5	KRU-1	KRU-2	KRU-3	KRU-4	OPZ-1	OPZ-2	OPZ-3	
AI	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-5.229	0.000	0.299	
Ni	0.900	1.159*	0.000	2.159*	-1.100	0.341	0.138	-0.100	2.511*	-0.322	0.303	2.397*	
Cd	0.000	4.170*	0.000	4.494*	4.388*	0.000	0.000	0.000	4.994*	0.000	-0.585	5.621*	
Fe	-6.229	0.000	1.835*	0.318	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.259	0.000	-0.046	0.025	
Classificatio	n of Geo Acc	umulation	Index (G	AI)									
Index class	Igeo Value	Level of	Level of contamination classification										
0	lgeo<0	Uncontar	ninated										
1	0 <lgeo<1< td=""><td>Uncontar</td><td>ninated to</td><td>moderate</td><td>ly contam</td><td>inated</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></lgeo<1<>	Uncontar	ninated to	moderate	ly contam	inated							
2	1 <lgeo<2< td=""><td>Moderate</td><td>ly contam</td><td>inated</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></lgeo<2<>	Moderate	ly contam	inated									
3	2 <lgeo<3< td=""><td>Moderate</td><td>ely to heav</td><td>ily contam</td><td>ninated</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></lgeo<3<>	Moderate	ely to heav	ily contam	ninated								
4	3 <lgeo<4< td=""><td>Heavily c</td><td colspan="9">Heavily contaminated</td></lgeo<4<>	Heavily c	Heavily contaminated										
5	4 <lgeo<5< td=""><td>Heavily to</td><td colspan="9">Heavily to extremely contaminated</td></lgeo<5<>	Heavily to	Heavily to extremely contaminated										
6	lgeo>5	Extremel	y contamir	nated									

*contaminated.

Source: Authors.

OKO-2 revealed a moderate contamination (Class 2), while OKO-3 was only moderately contaminated with Fe (Class 2). Station OKO-4 was moderately contaminated with Ni, but the station with OKO-5 was heavily and extremely contaminated with Cd. However, groundwater sources, including KRU-1, KRU-2, and KRU-3, were uncontaminated to moderately contaminated with either AL. Ni. Cd or Fe. KRU-4 surface water was moderate to heavily contaminated with Ni, whereas the station was heavy to extremely contaminated with Cd. OPZ-3 was extremely contaminated (Class 6) with Cd, while OPZ-1 and OPZ-2 were moderately and heavily contaminated with Ni, Fe and Al concentrations. Heavy metal constituents are an important ecological and health factor for water suitability, species requirements, and ecosystem protection (Achary et al., 2017). The assessment of the geo-accumulation index of the surface and groundwater in the study area reveals the level of each heavy metal examined. The status of Ni and Cd in the water raises concerns, as the regions are currently impacted by heavy metal contamination. While cadmium compounds are known to cause protracted ecotoxicity and human health effects (ATSDR, 2012), nickel exposure can cause allergies, dermatitis, cardiovascular and kidney conditions, pulmonary fibrosis, and lung and nose cancer (USEPA, 2000; Genchi et al., 2020). Ni toxicity affects multiple trophic levels and all aquatic organisms (Wang et al., 2020; Gauthier et al., 2021). There is a possible elevation in the concentration of heavy metals and other persistent organic pollutants since the area still faces serious pollution from different anthropogenic sources from illegal refining, waste dumping, open defecation, and plastic litter.

Nemerow Pollution Index (NPI)

According to the NPI study (Table 6), different water quality parameters studied in the different water sources are potential contributory factors to the degraded water quality, hence the unsuitable WQI. Physicochemical parameters such as acidity, residual chlorine, magnesium, hardness, conductivity, BOD, TOC, nickel, cadmium and iron were the significant parameters that contributed to water pollution across all water sources. However, nickel and residual chlorine were the two most significant parameters in abundance in at least one groundwater and surface water sources.

Most of the groundwater sources in this study indicated that it was majorly nickel and residual chlorine that contributed to water contamination (Table 6). Nickel is released into the environment by power and industrial plants, crude oil extraction, agricultural wastes, run-offs or mobilization from natural deposits in rocks and soils to groundwater. Nickel concentration may irritate the skin, and exposure can cause cancer to the lungs, stomach, and kidneys (Mahurpawar, 2015; Ramirez et al., 2017; Sah et al., 2019). Nickel has also been linked to greenhouse gas emissions and habitat destruction (Han et al., 2021). Residual chlorine constitutes an important safeguard against the risk of subsequent microbial contamination after water treatment, and could be a significant benefit for public health. However, an excessive amount of it in water could be toxic and lead to stomach aches, vomiting, diarrhoea, and dry and itchy skin in humans (Health line, 2018). Nickel concentration in this study ranged from 0.014 mg/L to 0.171 mg/L, exceeding the maximum permissible limit of 0.02 mg/L,

Table 6. Nemerow pollution index.

Parameter	OKO-1	OKO-2	OKO-3	OKO-4	OKO-5	KRU-1	KRU-2	KRU-3	KRU-4	OPZ-1	OPZ-2	OPZ-3
рН	0.961	0.952	0.956	0.971	0.965	0.964	0.962	0.964	0.839	0.994	0.967	0.953
Alkalinity	0.011	0.009	0.016	0.405	0.005	0.023	0.018	0.027	0.338	0.011	0.032	0.360
Acidity	0.471	0.594	0.531	4.871	0.245	0.765	0.299	0.588	0.478	0.294	0.649	0.894
CI	148.000	277.600	92.400	29628.000	46.400	231.600	203.600	194.400	23148.000	138.800	464.000	27776.000
Mg	0.084	0.140	0.840	51.814	0.253	0.560	0.280	0.560	14.000	0.280	0.224	51.400
Hardness	0.016	0.047	0.046	2.334	0.009	0.029	0.030	0.028	2.668	0.044	0.048	2.689
COND.	0.034	0.099	0.098	4.960	0.018	0.062	0.064	0.060	5.670	0.093	0.101	5.715
TDS	0.068	0.198	0.196	9.920	0.037	0.123	0.128	0.119	11.340	0.185	0.202	11.430
TURB	0.000	0.000	0.438	0.000	0.360	0.288	0.124	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.790
DO	0.852	0.923	0.320	0.172	0.855	0.937	0.762	0.755	0.540	0.802	0.953	0.407
BOD	0.290	0.137	2.657	2.817	0.660	0.143	0.143	0.367	0.963	0.283	0.617	4.267
NIT	0.036	0.039	0.043	0.047	0.035	0.038	0.033	0.040	0.042	0.026	0.036	0.039
SUL	0.001	0.031	0.484	0.724	0.026	0.008	0.007	0.010	0.668	0.021	0.033	0.484
PHOS	0.245	0.205	0.295	0.275	0.305	0.305	0.275	0.280	0.315	0.290	0.265	0.240
TOC	0.060	0.410	1.055	1.265	0.120	0.110	0.140	0.275	0.480	0.065	0.210	4.990
TOM	0.001	0.009	0.023	0.027	0.003	0.002	0.003	0.006	0.010	0.001	0.005	0.107
Су	0.000	0.000	0.240	0.360	0.080	0.000	0.080	0.060	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.200
AI	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.040	0.000	1.845
Ni	2.800	3.350	0.000	6.700	0.700	1.900	1.650	1.400	8.550	1.200	1.850	7.900
Cd	0.000	27.000	0.000	33.800	31.400	0.000	0.000	0.000	47.800	0.000	1.000	73.800
Fe	0.020	0.000	5.350	1.870	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.253	0.000	1.453	1.527
NPI values≤= 1: Low minute quantity to significantly cause water degradation												
NP1 values $> 1^{\circ}$ ind	icates prese	ence of para	meters sign	ificantly cause	water dear	adation						

Source: Authors.

while the residual chlorine ranged from 11.6 mg/L to 7407 mg/L and has maximum permissible limit of 0.25 mg/L (Table 3); and thus, could pose risk to locals consuming water from the sampled area. Although cadmium concentrations were not detected in most groundwater sources, they were found in surface and rain water ranging from 0.005 mg/L to 0.369 mg/L. Cadmium was observed

as a contributory parameter to water contamination beside residual chlorine and Ni in OKO-2, OKO-4 and OKO-5. The roofing sheets of the buildings where rainwater was collected were made up of asbestos and covered by soot, resulting in faintly dark water. Cadmium concentration in ground water sources OKO-2, OKO-5 and OPZ-2, as well as surface water OKO- 4, KRU-4 and OPZ-3 indicated the impacts of flared gas in the area. The cadmium concentration ranged from0.005 to0.369 mg/L (Table 3). The WHO permissible level for Cd is 0.005 mg/L. The local population drink water from these cadmium-contaminated sources, especially during water scarcity, as there are no alternative water supplies. A striking observation and health concern

from the results is the presence of Cd in a minute quantity (0.005 mg/L) in a major treated tap water source in OKO- 2 and OPZ 2; a major source of drinking water supplying many households including the Nigeria Maritime University. It is necessary to conduct additional research on the source of cadmium in tap water to provide detail evidence for decision-making. Ni and Cd contributed significantly to the heavy metals load in the water samples than all other metals analysed. They were also responsible for the high levels of the pollution indices obtained from the NPI in the water sources.

The results from the NPI indicated the presence of a battery of chemical contaminants in the surface water OKO-4 and OPZ-3 and thus are prone to ecological and health risks to aquatic lives and humans. According to the NPI result (Table 6) acidity, residual chlorine, TDS, conductivity, hardness, Ni, Cd and Fe parameters measured in samples from stations OKO-4 and OPZ-3 (surface water) showed that they contributed to the poor water quality. Table 3 also illustrated that these contributing parameters that resulted to that the poor water guality were found to be above the permissible limits of WHO. For all the water sources, the NPI revealed that residual chlorine and with at least one heavy metal included a major factor that resulted in the extensive unsuitability of the water sources, the nutrients, cyanide, turbidity, pH, and TOM recorded less quantities to assign them as significant cause of water contamination or unsuitability, The assessment indicated that the surface waters were more polluted than the groundwater samples, and could be attributed to the daily discharge of effluents, agrochemicals, run-offs and hydrocarbon into surface waters. Increasing levels of toxic metals in drinking water sources poses significant risk to human health and other receptors, as they penetrate the food chain (Achary et al., 2017). For example, high levels of AI as reported in the samples could result in neurodegenerative diseases in humans (Bondy and Campbell, 2017). A significant outcome of this study is that contamination levels are higher in rivers compared to boreholes and sachets in the overall water quality assessment. This could be attributed to the open nature of rivers and other surface waters to anthropogenic sources. Surface waters are primary receivers of run-offs which deliver mixed refuse (Singh et al., 2016), even as they serve as direct dumps for refuse, sewage, oil spills (Ite et al., 2018), illegal refining waste, bunkering, and domestic wastewater. For the groundwater sources, in addition to seepage from surface water, contamination may be caused by geogenic activities such as weathering and leaching of minerals from rocks (Afonne et al., 2020). Singh et al. (2016) reported that poor waste disposal systems can contaminate water systems since leachates from municipal solid waste landfills contain high concentrations of heavy metals and metalloids. According to Kapoor and Singh (2021), metals are transported by

run-off from industrial effluents and other chemicals into water sources if there is no adequate treatment. The study area has major industries and pipelines with poor waste disposal and drainage systems, coupled with their agricultural activities in which chemicals are used to improve crop yields, without appropriate regulation.

Principal component analysis (PCA) was applied to explain the experiential interrelationship of cluster parameters in simple patterns, as expressed in the nature of correlations between the parameters (Figure 6). PCA 1 recorded 61.04 % and PC 2 recorded 13.23 % variations. Figure 6 shows the biplot of the PCA, and the proximity of lines for pair of parameters denotes the strength and nature of their reciprocated relationship. Conductivity, acidity, alkalinity, TDS, and nutrients studied showed an equal influence and a weak negative correlation with each other (PC 2). However, Cd, Fe, Cy, and Mg in PC 2 indicated a weak positive influence on the component and point to the importance of mineral dissolution, chemical weathering, and erosion of earth particles. PC 2 was also weakly and positively associated with pH but insignificantly influenced by dissolved oxygen. PC 2 loaded significantly for parameters including phosphate and PC 1 for nitrate, and such loadings represent agricultural activities (use of fertilisers and agrochemicals). OKO-1 to 3 and 5, as well as KRU 1 to 3 and OPZ 1 and 2, exhibited a weak positive effect on PC 2. OKO-4 and KRU-4, surface waters showed a strong negative influence, although OPZ-3, which is also surface water, remained a strong positive influence in PC 2 (Figure 6). Turbidity, TOM, TOC, BOD and AI exhibited a weak positive correlation with each other (PC 1) which could be associated with factors of chemical compound disassociation to ions, climate variability, and organic pollution. All study stations were strongly and negatively loaded in PC 1 except for OKO-3, OKO-4, KRU-4, and OPZ-3. OKO-4, KRU-4, and OPZ-3 demonstrated a strong positive influence in PC 1, but OKO-3 showed a weak positive influence.

Conclusion

Most drinking water sources in the sampled communities are contaminated with organic and metallic contaminants. The surface water was heavily polluted with Ni, Cd, Fe, residual chlorine, TDS, conductivity, acidity and magnesium compared with the groundwater and sachet water sources. WQI results indicated that all sampled waters exceeded the critical WQI value of 100. This could expose the communities to significant public health including immune suppression, issues cancer, reproductive failure and acute poisoning, if urgent measures are not taken. While there is need to control sources of contamination, particularly the oil mining and illegal refining industries, governments at the local and



Figure 6. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of the physicochemical characteristics in water from study location. Source: Authors

state levels should urgently provide potable drinking water for these coastal communities. A multi-agency collaboration involving the state environmental protection agency, the water resources ministry, the sanitation agency, and the waste management parastatals is needed to develop and implement a framework that would protect water resources, enhance communities' access to potable drinking water, and manage waste sustainably.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors appreciate the efforts of the Tertiary Education Trust Fund (TETFUND) and the management of the Nigeria Maritime University for providing the funds for the research.

REFERENCES

Achary MS, Satpathy KK, Panigrahi S, Mohanty AK, Padhi RK, Biswas

- S, Prabhu RK, Vijayalakshmi S, Panigrahy RC (2017). Concentration of heavy metals in the food chain components of the nearshore coastal waters of Kalpakkam, southeast coast of India. Food Control 72:232-243.
- Adesakin TA, Oyewale AT, Bayero U, Mohammed AN, Aduwo IA, Ahmed PZ, Abubakar ND, Barje IB (2020). Assessment of bacteriological quality and physico-chemical parameters of domestic water sources in Samaru community, Zaria, Northwest Nigeria. Heliyon 6(8):e04773.
- Afonne OJ, Chukwuka JU, Ifediba EC (2020). Evaluation of drinking water quality using heavy metal pollution indexing models in an agrarian, non-industrialised area of South-East Nigeria. Journal of Environmental Science and Health Part A 55(12):1406-1414.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) (2012). https://wwwn.cdc.gov/TSP/PHS/PHSLanding.aspx?id=46&tid=15
- Amakiri KT, Ogolo NA, Angelis-Dimakis A, Albert O (2022). Physicochemical assessment and treatment of produced water: A case study in Niger delta Nigeria. Petroleum Research 8(1):87-95.
- Bondy SC, Campbell A (2017). Aluminum and neurodegenerative diseases. Advances in Neurotoxicology 1:131-156. Academic Press.
- Chen J, Lu J (2014). Effects of land use, topography and socioeconomic factors on river water quality in a mountainous watershed with intensive agricultural production in East China. PloS One 9(8):e102714.
- Chima GN, Digha ON (2009). Community water supply and human health in Ogbia L.G.A. of Bayelsa State, Central Niger Delta, Nigeria. Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences 5(1):105-111.
- de Meyer CM, Rodríguez JM, Carpio EA, García PA, Stengel C, Berg M (2017). Arsenic, manganese and aluminum contamination in groundwater resources of Western Amazonia (Peru). Science of the Total Environment 607:1437-1450.
- de Zeeuw J, Sam KS, Siakpere R (2018). Communities' Perceptions of the Ogoni Clean-up Project. Doi:10.15713/ins.mmj.3.
- Digha ON, Abua MA (2016). Assessment of pollution status of Ikwette

Stream at Obudu Cattle Ranch Bottom Hill, Cross River State Calabar Nigeria pp. 343-352. http://www.eajournals.org/wpcontent/uploads/Assessment-of-Pollution-Status-of-Ikwette-Streamat-Obudu-Cattle-Ranch-Bottom-Hill-Cross-River-State-Calabar-Nigeria.pdf

- Edet AE, Offiong OE (2002). Evaluation of water quality pollution indices for heavy metal contamination monitoring. A study case from Akpabuyo-Odukpani area, Lower Cross River Basin (south-eastern Nigeria). Geo Journal 57(4):295-304.
- Etim EE, Odoh R, Itodo AU, Umoh SD, Lawal U (2013). Water quality index for the assessment of water quality from different sources in the Niger Delta Region of Nigeria. Frontiers in Science 3(3):89-95.
- Eyankware MO, Aleke CG, Selemo AOI, Nnabo PN (2020). Hydrogeochemical studies and suitability assessment of groundwater quality for irrigation at Warri and environs, Niger delta basin, Nigeria. Groundwater for Sustainable Development 10:100293.
- Fathi E, Zamani-Ahmadmahmoodi R, Zare-Bidaki R (2018). Water quality evaluation using water quality index and multivariate methods, Beheshtabad River, Iran. Applied Water Science 8:1-6.
- Fatoba PO, Ogunkunle CO, Folarin OO, Oladele FA (2016). Heavy metal pollution and ecological geochemistry of soil impacted by activities of oil industry in the Niger Delta, Nigeria. Environmental Earth Sciences 75(4):1-9.
- Gauthier PT, Blewett TA, Garman ER, Schlekat CE, Middleton ET, Suominen E, Crémazy A (2021). Environmental risk of nickel in aquatic Arctic ecosystems. Science of The Total Environment 797:148921.
- Genchi G, Carocci A, Lauria G, Sinicropi MS, Catalano A (2020). Nickel: Human health and environmental toxicology. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 17(3):679.
- Glotov VE, Chlachula J, Glotova LP, Little E (2018). Causes and environmental impact of the gold-tailings dam failure at Karamken, the Russian Far East. Engineering Geology 245:236-247.
- Hakanson L (1980). An ecological risk index for aquatic pollution control. A sedimentological approach. Water research 14(8):975-1001.
- Han K, Yu W, Xu L, Deng Z, Yu H, Wang F (2021). Reducing carbon deposition and enhancing reaction stability by ceria for methane dry reforming over Ni@ SiO2@ CeO2 catalyst. Fuel 291:120182.
- Health line (2018). Chlorine Poisoning. https://www.healthline.com/health/chlorine-poisoning.
- Ite AE., Harry TA., Obadimu CO., Asuaiko ER., Inim IJ (2018). Petroleum hydrocarbons contamination of surface water and groundwater in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria. Journal of Environment Pollution and Human Health 6(2):51-61.
- Kapoor D, Singh MP (2021). Heavy metal contamination in water and its possible sources. In Heavy metals in the environment. Elsevier pp. 179-189.
- Khan MA., Ghouri AM (2011). Environmental Pollution: Its effects on life and its remedies. Journal of Arts, Science and Commerce 2(2):276-85.
- Li P, Wu J (2019). Drinking water quality and public health. Exposure and Health 11:73-79.
- Liu Y, Yu H, Sun Y, Chen J (2017). Novel assessment method of heavy metal pollution in surface water: A case study of Yangping River in Lingbao City, China. Environmental Engineering Research 22(1):31-39.
- Mahurpawar M (2015). Effects of heavy metals on human health. International Journal of Research Granthaalayah 530:1-7.
- Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) (2014). Cadmium and Drinking Water P 2.
- Morales D, Molares S, Epele L, Ladio A, Manzo P, Alday G (2020). An interdisciplinary approach to perception of water quality for human consumption in a Mapuche community of arid Patagonia, Argentina. Science of the Total Environment 720:137508.
- Muller G (1969). Index of geo-accumulation in sediments of the Rhine River. Geojournal 2:108-118.
- Naeem K, Syed TH, Abdus S, Nargis J, Kyong SK (2013). Physicochemical investigation of the drinking water sources from Mardan, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. International Journal of

Physical Sciences 8(33):1661-1671.

Naanen B (2019). When extractive governance fails: Oil theft as resistance in Nigeria. Extractive Industries and Society 6(3):702-710.

- Nwankwoala HO, Amachree T (2020). The water quality index (WQI) and hydrochemical characterization of groundwater resources in hydrocarbon polluted sites in the Niger delta. Journal of Mining and Geology 56(1):69-84.
- Nwaogazie IL, Osamudiamen OP, Bovwe O (2018). Modelling groundwater quality index based on sensitivity analysis for wet and dry seasons in Obio/Akpor local government area, Rivers state, Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Technology 37(3):799-805.
- Odia M, Nwaogazie IL (2017). Multivariate Statistical Approach in Modeling Surface and Groundwater Quality near Municipal Solid Waste Dump Sites in Warri Metropolitan City. Archives of Current Research International pp. 1-21.
- Omo-Irabor OO, Olobaniyi SB, Oduyemi K, Akunna J (2008). Surface and groundwater water quality assessment using multivariate analytical methods: a case study of the Western Niger Delta, Nigeria. Physics and Chemistry of the Earth Parts A/B/C 33(8-13):666-673.
- Onyena AP, Nkwoji JA, Chukwu LO (2021). Evaluation of hydrochemistry and benthic macroinvertebrates in Chanomi Creek, Niger Delta Nigeria. Regional Studies in Marine Science 46:101907.
- Onyena AP, Nkwoji JA, Chukwu LO, Munier N (2022). Modelling contamination on various stations along the Chanomi Creek (Niger Delta), produced by combined effects, using mathematical tools (SIMUS and WQI, methods). Modeling Earth Systems and Environment pp. 1-11.
- Pegg S, Zabbey N (2013). Oil and water: the Bodo spills and the destruction of traditional livelihood structures in the Niger Delta. Community Development Journal 48(3)391-405.
- Pérez-Vidal A, Escobar-Rivera JC, Torres-Lozada P (2020). Development and implementation of a water-safety plan for drinkingwater supply system of Cali, Colombia. International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health 224:113422.
- Ramirez MI, Arevalo AP, Sotomayor S, Bailon-Moscoso N (2017). Contamination by oil crude extraction–Refinement and their effects on human health. Environmental Pollution 231:415-425.
- Sah D., Verma PK., Kandikonda MK., Lakhani A (2019). Pollution characteristics, human health risk through multiple exposure pathways, and source apportionment of heavy metals in PM10 at Indo-Gangetic site. Urban Climate 27:149-162.
- Sam K, Coulon F, Prpich G (2017). Management of petroleum hydrocarbon contaminated sites in Nigeria: Current challenges and future direction. Land Use Policy 64:133-144.
- Sam K, Coulon F, Prpich G (2017). A multi-attribute methodology for the prioritisation of oil contaminated sites in the Niger Delta. Science of the Total Environment 579:1323-1332.
- Sam K, Zabbey N, Onyena AP (2022). Implementing contaminated land remediation in Nigeria: Insights from the Ogoni remediation project. Land Use Policy 115:106051.
- Sam K, Zabbey N (2018). Contaminated land and wetland remediation in Nigeria: Opportunities for sustainable livelihood creation, Science of the Total Environment 639:1560-1573.
- Sherris AR, Baiocchi M, Fendorf S, Luby SP, Yang W, Shaw GM (2021). Nitrate in drinking water during pregnancy and spontaneous preterm birth: A retrospective within-mother analysis in California. Environmental Health Perspectives 129(5):057001.
- Singh S, Raju NJ, Gossel W, Wycisk P (2016). Assessment of pollution potential of leachate from the municipal solid waste disposal site and its impact on groundwater quality, Varanasi environs, India. Arabian Journal of Geosciences 9(2):1-12.
- UNEP (1992). United Nations Conference on Environment & Development.
- https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/Agenda21. pdf
- UNEP (2011). https://www.unep.org/explore-topics/disastersconflicts/where-we-work/nigeria/environmental-assessmentogoniland-report
- UNEP (2021). Water quality.

https://www.unep.org/explore-topics/water/what-we-do/world-waterquality-alliance-wwqa-partnership-effort/faqs-water

- USEPA (2000). U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Nickel Compounds. National Center for Environmental Assessment, Office of Research and Development, Washington, DC.
- Tian R, Wu J (2019). Groundwater quality appraisal by improved set pair analysis with game theory weightage and health risk estimation of contaminants for Xuecha drinking water source in a loess area in Northwest China. Human and Ecological Risk Assessment: An International Journal 25(1-2):132-157.
- Vanloon G, Duffy S (2005). The Hydrosphere. In: Environmental Chemistry: A Global Perspective. 2nd Edn. New York: Oxford University Press pp. 197-211.
- Wang Z, Yeung KW. Zhou GJ, Yung MM, Schlekat CE, Garman E., Gissi F, Stauber JL, Middleton ET, Wang YYL, Leung KM (2020). Acute and chronic toxicity of nickel on freshwater and marine tropical aquatic organisms. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 206:111373.

- World Health Organization (WHO) (2003). Nitrate and nitrite in Drinkingwater. Guidelines for drinking-water quality, 2nd ed. Addendum to Vol. 2. Health criteria and other supporting information P 100.
- World Health Organization (WHO) (2017). Guidelines for Drinking-Water Quality, 4th ed.; incorporating the first addendum; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland. https://apps. who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/254637/9789241549950-eng. pdf?sequence=1
- World Health Organization (WHO) (2019). National systems to support drinking-water: Sanitation and hygiene: Global status report: UN-Water global analysis and assessment of sanitation and drinking water: GLAAS 2019 report. World Health Organization.
- World Health Organization (WHO) (2011). Guidelines for drinking-water quality, fourth edition. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2011/9789241548151_ eng.pdf

Vol. 17(6), pp. 135-145, June 2023 DOI: 10.5897/AJEST2022.3163 Article Number: E96F91470921 ISSN: 1996-0786 Copyright ©2023 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article http://www.academicjournals.org/AJEST



African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology

Full Length Research Paper

Quality of water resources of Mount Lubwe and its access in a context of landscape anthropization in the Butembo region, East of DR Congo

Kyoghero KASEREKA SHUKURU^{1,2*}, Charles MUMBERE MUSAVANDALO^{1,2}, Musongora KAMBALE MUYISA^{2,3}, Moise MUSUBAO KAPIRI², Gloire KAYITOGHERA MULONDI^{1,2}, Kouagou Raoul SAMBIENI^{1,4} and Francis LELO NZUZI⁵

¹Regional Post-University School for Integrated Planning and Management of Tropical Forests and Territories (ERAIFT/UNESCO), Université de Kinshasa (UNKIN), BP 15.373, Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of Congo.
²Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, Université Catholique du Graben (UCG/Butembo), BP 29, Butembo, Democratic Republic of Congo.

³Department of Land Resource Management and Agricultural Technology, University of Nairobi, Nairobi, Kenya. ⁴Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech, Université de Liège, Passage des Déportés, 2 B-5030, Gembloux, Belgium. ⁵University de Kinshasa (UNIKIN) BP 15.373, Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of Congo.

Received 1 November, 2022; Accepted 12 April, 2023

This study highlights the competitive effects of the exploitation of the resources of Mount Lubwe on the quality of the water resources. The objective is twofold and consisted in: (i) evaluating the physicochemical and microbiological water quality in the current context of anthropization of Mount Lubwe visà-vis the standards of the World Health Organization (WHO) and (ii) determine the conditions of access to this resource in the beneficiary communities of the region. The systemic analysis approach shows that the anthropogenic pressure on the natural forest ecosystems has induced the degradation of water quality as well as its availability in the beneficiary areas of Butembo region. Most of the surveyed water sources provide water that exposes consumers to many health risks. The high rate of germs (45,000 UFT/mI) and nitrites content (0.1245 ml/l) is an indicator not only of the poor quality of its water resources but also of the impacts of anthropization of the landscape. Moreover, access to water shows a marginalization based on social categories with only people with stable and regular incomes who can afford quality drinking water.

Key words: Water resources, Mount Lubwe, access to drinking water, Butembo region.

INTRODUCTION

The degradation of forest ecosystems and its influence on the quality of water resources is extensively debated around the world. This is an issue that currently captures the attention of many researchers; because of the role that forests play in human survival and well-being (Bakouma, 2010). The forest provides humans with

*Corresponding author. E-mail: <u>kkyoghero@gmail.com</u>.

Author(s) agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> <u>License 4.0 International License</u> various ecosystem services including the permanent supply of quality drinking water. As the world faces several environmental crises, including that of water, the question of the management of forest ecosystems that provide this service to humanity is becoming increasingly crucial (Ndona Nzey, 2003). In several regions of the planet, quality water in required quantity is becoming more and more scarce due to the overexploitation of the resource and the inadequate land use (Calder et al., 2007). Stolton and Dudley (2007) point out that efficient sustainable forest management and contributes enormously to the supply of cheap and potable water.

In the Democratic Republic of Congo, forests and water resources are interconnected in such a way that disruption of one has negative effects on the other (Chishugi et al., 2021). de Wasseige et al. (2008) point out that the supply of water in quantity and quality in the Congo Basin is dependent on the forest. However, due to the lack of coherent land use planning at the national level coupled with various socio-political crises, the forest and water balance is gradually deteriorating. Annually conversion of forest cover into agricultural land without prior planning or even in transgression of the law in force is increasingly taking place (*de* Wasseige et al., 2008; Chishugi et al., 2021).

For three decades now, North Kivu is experiencing recurrent episodes of theaters of armed conflict and the environmental consequences of such a situation are well obvious. This instability forces people to congest in certain areas that are still secure, living on subsistence activities, with agriculture remaining the bedrock of their economy. Land scarcity in these densely populated regions forces farmers to continuously cultivate the small portions of land in their possession with rudimentary practices which are not likely to guarantee the sustainability of production. The land scarcity and fragmentation is subsequent to population growth in this province, where a number of villages is rapidly expending with profound change in the landscape and the habitat (Bruneau and Kasay, 1981).

The city of Butembo and its surroundings are part of areas where access to drinking water is an acute issue. Mount Lubwe, a natural reserve located more than 30 km from the city, has become an essential water tower for the latter. The existence of this protected area arises tension and land rivalry between the bourgeois logic of land accumulation and the peasant logic of land conservation. However, the conflict between objectives of land use by local populations and urban beneficiaries for water services provided by this space continues to grow and disrupts natural landscapes. Knowledge concerning the influence of human activities on the water quality and quantity is still scarce but requires more attention. Indigenous knowledge about natural resource management and the perception that the local community has with regard to natural resources is key for their sustainable management. Mount Lubwe is regarded as

cultural sanctuary for some and a water tower for others. This is assumed to provide enough protection to the Mount Lubwe ecosystem. However, the ground truth reveals an intensive poaching of human activities, mainly agriculture and charcoal production, on the forest ecosystem of this mountain. It is in this context that this study was carried out, not only to assess the quality of the Mount Lubwe water sources in the context of the anthropization of its natural forest ecosystems, but also to determine the accessibility of this resource in the beneficiary communities of Butembo region.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study site

This study was carried out in four different sites, namely the Lubwe and Ngeleza villages as well as Kimemi and Mususa municipalities of Butembo city as illustrated in figure 1. Lubwe and Ngeleza sites host Mount Lubwe; Mihake and Musienene villages. Musienene and Mihake villages are located in the Baswagha chiefdom in the territory of Lubero, North Kivu/DRC. Musienene is located at an altitude of 1880 m at 0°00'53.15" North; 29°16'54.73"E, about 15 km South to Butembo city. Mihake is a village located not far from the Lubwe landscape about 5 km from Ngeleza (southward the mountain). It is located between geographical coordinates 0°00'31.00"South and 29°17'59.71'East and at 1920 m above sea level. The urban area of Butembo lays between the latitude 0°05' and 0°10' North and longitude 29°17' and 29°18' East, with an average altitude of 1700 m. Its landscape is part of the mountainous Kivu region in Central Africa (Vyakuno, 2006). Butembo city is subdivided into four municipalities, namely Bulengera, Vulamba, Kimemi, and Mususa. This study focused on Kimemi and Mususa municipalities due to their high dependence on drinking water supplied from Mount Lubwe (Vikanza, 2013).

Data collection

Data collection consisted of, on one hand, the use of reports, archives, papers and related studies and on the other hand, field work consisting of surveys and water sampling as well as field observations. The observations consisted of scanning through the landscape of Mount Lubwe, identifying the main springs that supply rural and urban settlements. At the end of these observations, five different springs on Mount Lubwe were selected for physico-chemical and microbiological analyses of their water. These springs are managed by the local associations (ACEKA and ACEKAVU) to supply water in Butembo city and its peripheral agglomerations of Musienene, Ngeleza, Mukohwa and Mihake.

For each spring, one water sample was collected *in situ* on Mount Lubwe for quality analyses. To limit any risk of crosscontamination, sterile jars were used for samples intended for microbiological analyzes (Alégoet and Rhône-Alpes, 2006; CCME, 2011), whereas ordinary plastic bottles were used for samples intended for the physico-chemical analyses (Bigonnesse and Roy, 2017). In this perspective, the jars were opened only at the place of sample collection, and in the laboratory to limit the risk of contamination by the air. In addition, cool boxes containing cold packs were used during the transportation of the samples from the sampling site to the laboratory. These accumulators made it possible to obtain the desired temperature of 1 to 4°C (El Youssefi, 2014) in order to slow down microbial growth by the cold and thus avoid any bias in the results (Bigonnesse and Roy, 2017).



Figure 1. The location of Mount Lubwe in the Butembo/Nord-Kivu-DRC region. Source: Authors.

Regarding survey data, they were collected in Butembo and in twosurvey data, they were collected in Butembo and in two villages: Musienene and Mihake. These surveys mainly consisted of collecting the perceptions of the various actors on access to drinking water in a systemic and global dimension. This systemic dimension was carried out at three levels: the perception of the quantity of drinking water in the agglomeration, the willingness to pay for the improvement of access to the drinking water, and the use of water treatment methods before domestic use. The sample size was determined systematically using the law of probability (random). The household was the study unit and the number of households to be surveyed was determined on the basis of the demographic weight in the agglomeration according to the following formula of Yamane (1967):

$$n = \frac{N}{1 + N(s)2}$$

where n: sample size, N: the size of the population, and e: the degree of precision. In total, 611 households were surveyed. These households were randomly selected among the beneficiaries of water supplied by Mount Lubwe and their neighbours who do not source from Mount Lubwe water supply plants.

Water physico-chemical and microbiological analyses

Theses analyses involved the electrical conductivity, turbidity, pH, nitrates and nitrites, hydrometric title, calcium and magnesium ions, chlorides, the total coliforms, faecal coliforms and polluting germs. The results of these parameters were compared to the WHO

standards for drinking water as illustrated in Table 1. For microbiological analyses, the most probable number method (a dilution method) was used to count the germs (Hugues, 1981). This method enables the assessment of the microbiological growth by a turbidity of the medium contained in the test tubes. Two culture media were used, namely tergitole and Tryptone Soya Bouillon. Results were interpreted in reference to the table of Marc Grady (1915) cited by Maul (1982). The total number of germs was determined according to the formula:

Number of germs (rate per mL of water) =
$$\frac{mpn}{Seeded \ volume} \times Fd$$

where Fd: the 10ⁿ dilution factor for 10⁻ⁿ dilution, *mpn:most probable number*.

During the isolation of the germs, the use of isolation media was necessary. These media were composed of an elective medium (including fresh blood agar) and two selective media comprising Mac Conkey and Sabouraud. Mac Conkey was conducive to the development of Enterobacteriaceae (Allen, 2005) and Sabouraud to that of fungi (Becton, 2003). The incubation temperature of the Petri dishes containing samples for the isolation was different according to their nature; 35 and 44.5°C for total as well as faecal coliforms, respectively (Centre d'expertise en analyse environnementale du Québec, 2014b). Germ identification was performed on colonies formed during isolation. It consisted in the differential staining of Grams (Gram+ and Gram-), then in tests based on the biochemical reactions between the germs and the constituents of the culture media.

Water pH and electric conductivity of the water were measured

No.	Parameter	Unit	Indicative value
1	Aluminium	mg/l	0.2
2	Chlorides	mg/l	250
3	Colour	True Colour Unit (UCV)	15
4	Hardness	mg of CaCO ₃ /I	500
5	Iron	mg/l	0.3
6	Total Dissolved Matter	mg/l	1000
7	рН	-	6.5 - 8.5
8	Sodium	mg/l	200
9	Sulfates	mg/l	400
10	Turbidity	Nephrotic Turbidity Unit (NTU)	5
11	Fecal coliforms	Number/100 ml	0
12	Total coliforms	Number/100 ml	0

Table 1. WHO physico-chemical and microbiological standards of drinking water.

Source: Weltgesundheits Organisation (1985).

Table 2. Logistic regression models tested.

Model	Dependent variable	Main effects
Model 1	Ability to pay water bills	Profession of household head
Model 2	water sufficiency	Profession of household heads, Municipality
Model 3	Use of water treatment	Profession of household heads, Municipality

Source: Authors

by the electrometric method (Centre d'expertise en analyse environnementale du Québec, 2014a) whereas water hardness or hydrometric titre was measured after Xavier Bataille (2000) and calculated according to the formula:

 $H.T = \frac{\text{Drained volume (ml)}}{0.104}$ as described by Kagheni (2016). And

to determine the magnesium and calcium contents in these water samples, the relations $Mg^{2+} = H.T. \times 0.0125 \times 200$ and

 $Ca^{2+} = H.T. \times 0.01 \times 400$ were used, respectively. The

measurement of nitrites required reagents composed of Lombard's solution, 1 N hydrochloric acid and 37% NH₄ OH ammonia. The latter was calculated according to the formula (Kagheni, 2016):

$$[NO2 -] = \frac{[(D.O - D.O(b)) + 0.0014]}{10.692} \times 20 (mg/l).$$

With DO (b) the optical density of the blank and DO the optical density of the sample. In addition, the determination of the nitrate required the Grandval-Lajoux reagents: concentrated H_2SO_4 37 g, phenol 3 g and NH₄ OH so that the total mass is 50 g; the nitrate solution 0.137 g of pure NaNO₃ per liter with 0.1 mg of NO₃⁻ and the silver sulphate solution 4.4 g of Ag₂SO₄ per litre. The nitrate concentration was estimated by the relationship:

$$[NO_{3}] = \frac{[(D.O - D.O(b)) + 0.0056] \times 100}{1.7793} (mg/l)$$

of the aforementioned manual with DO(b): the optical density of the

blank and DO the optical density of the sample. And to measure the chlorides, the reagents used consisted of pure nitric acid, pure calcium carbonate; potassium chromate solution (10%) and silver nitrate solution (0.1 N). Considering V as the number of milliliters of 0.1 N silver nitrate used for the titration, we then expressed the results according to the formula:

Cl content =
$$Cl - content = \frac{VAgNO3 \times NAgNO3 \times 1000 \times MC}{V'}$$

(test sample).

Statistical analyses

Regarding the physicochemical parameters, the calculated averages were compared with the WHO standards using the Student's t-test of comparison of a single average with the known theoretical average. Thereafter, the principal component analysis (PCA) was applied to the data obtained in order to highlight the similarity and differences between Mount Lubwe springs regarding their physico-chemical and microbial properties. The survey data were analyzed for the determination of the descriptive statistics, to highlight the correlations between the variables studied and multivariate analyses using statistical software R 3.6.1 under R studio 1.2.50001. In addition, the results of water access conditions in households required the use of methods based on the odds ratio, using the binary logistic regression (Cibois, 2000). This analysis was carried out using the MASS package and the effects of each variable of the model (OR, confidence interval and significance) were represented graphically using the "forestmodel" package of the R software. The logistic regression models used in the framework of this study are presented in Table 2.

RESULTS

Qualities of Mount Lubwe drinking water

The unilateral Student's t-test of all the measured physico-chemical parameters reveals that the difference between the calculated mean and the WHO standard value is highly significant (p-value < 0.001) except pH (p-value > 0.05). The averages of all these parameters were below WHO standards, which bode well for the good physical quality of water from these springs. The microbiological parameters, however, did not significantly differ from the WHO standards (Table 3). The hierarchical classification (HAC) of this representation is as shown in Figure 2.

The Ascending Hierarchical Classification (AHC) was carried out on five main drinking water springs of Mount Lubwe. It shows three groups of springs based on the results of Principal Component Analysis (PCA). The first group is made up of the ACEKA and ACEKAVU springs and presents non-significantly different values of nitrates and total aerobic mesophilic germs (GTMA) (Table 4) and hence were judged to provide quality water. The second group isolates the springs characterized by a high rate of nitrites (Table 4). Their average nitrite content (0.1245) is significantly higher than the general averages (0.0789). This group includes the Thutwa and Mukohwa springs, which present slightly different soil cover conditions: bare lands and fields for the Thutwa spring and fields with a minority of trees for the Mukohwa spring. The last group discriminates the Ngeleza spring which supplies very poor quality water in view of it microbiological quality; the mean of the variable (45000 UFT/ml) being greater than the general mean (9380).

At the 5% significant level, the "Nitrite" variable is significantly linked to group II whereas the Total Aerobic Mesophilic Germs (GTMA) are associated with group III. Group I is characterized by sources with water suitable for consumption (zero or insignificant total value for total aerobic mesophilic germs and nitrites). Analysis of this table shows that the average of the "Nitrite" variable and that of the "GTMA" variable are each higher than the general average of each group. This means that the sources of group II are characterized by a high content of nitrites while those of group III have a high proportion of total aerobic mesophilic germs.

Access to drinking water in Butembo region

In urban areas of Butembo

With regard to the household head occupation, the ability to pay for an improved service of access to drinking water increases insignificantly for employees of the public sector, those of the private sector as well as traders compared to agents and servants of the state. The logistic regression showed that public sector employees are 2.77 times more likely to pay for the service for water use (OR=2.77). Employees in the private sector are 1.92 times more likely to pay for water (OR=1.92) while traders are 1.84 times more likely (OR=1.84) compared to agents and servants of the state. Moreover, the probability of paying for the improved access to drinking water service decreases sharply among craftsmen (OR=0.41), farmers (OR=0.19) and laborers (OR =0.04) (Figure 3).

Consumers of water from Mount Lubwe have different perceptions of the amount of water available. Adequacy of the quantity of water used in the household are more pronounced among maneuvers, unlike the other professional categories (OR=1.18). The odds ratio associated with farmers is very close to 1, meaning that the professional categories whose household water demand is low (farmers, maneuver), believe that the regularly supplied water is sufficient. The high demand for water in households where the head is an employee of the public or private sector, a trader or a craftsman largely explains the low odds ratios associated with these professional categories (Figure 4). The place of residence in the two municipalities of Butembo (Kimemi and Mususa) did not significantly affect the perception of stakeholders on the adequacy of the quantity of water within the household (p-value=0.09).

The odds ratios associated with the use of water treatment methods before any consumption according to professional categories of the household head were less than 1, indicative of a low probability of water treatment before any domestic use in these categories compared to agents and civil servants of the state. Nevertheless, the analysis of odds ratios reveals that employees in the private sector, the public sector and traders have a low probability of using water treatment methods compared to agent and civil servants of the state regardless the place of residence in Butembo city (Figure 5).

In the peri-urban areas of Mihake and Musienene

In rural areas, there is significantly more chance of finding a teacher or a taxidriver (p-value ≤ 0.05) able to pay bills of domestic water consumption compared to farmers. Considering the age factor, people between 25 and 65 years old are likely to pay for water consumption, whereas septuagenarians (65 to 75 years old) find it difficult to pay the bill for domestic water use compared to people between 18 and 25 (Figure 6).

There was a diversity of opinions on the sufficiency of the quantity of water according to age and professional categories in peri-urban areas. Taxi drivers, butchers, seamstresses, teachers, traders and those responsible for water supply organizations point out the insufficiency of water for domestic use. Only liberals tend to comply with small quantities of water limited to their household needs (Figure 7).

Sotting	ъЦ	Conductivity	turbidity	UT (%E_)	NO ⁻²	NO ⁻³	Cl	TGMA	тс	FC	Gram	loolotod gormo
Setting	рп	(µs/cm)	(NTU)	пі (гі)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(N.T.U./ml)	(C.S.U./100 ml)	(C.S.U./100 ml)	Grain	isolaleu gernis
ACEKA	7.1	61.7	1.23	4.8	0.043	1.85	1.42	0	0	0	NA	Absent
ACEKAVU	6.13	53.3	1	6.25	0.043	1.5	0.178	300	8	0	Gram-forming bacilli	Leolercia adecarboxylata
Tutwa	5.58	90.7	1.2	7.21	0.126	2.703	32.66	900	30	10	Gram-forming bacilli	Providencia rettgeri
Ngeleza	5.72	106.4	0.83	11.29	0.06	1.55	16.33	45000	80	20	Gram-forming bacilli	Ewingelia americana
Mukohwa	5.71	54.8	1.2	9.61	0.123	0.8	20.59	700	7	0	Gram-forming bacilli	Aeromonas hydrophila
Min value	5.58	53.3	0.83	4.8	0.043	0.8	0.178	0	0	0	-	-
Max value	7.1	106.4	1.23	11.29	0.126	2.703	32.66	45000	80	20	-	-
Calculated average	6.05	73.38	1.092	7.832	0.079	1.6806	14.24	9 380	25	6	-	-
WHO standards	6.5	400	5	50	3	50	250	0	0	0	-	-
P Value	0.0901	<0.001***	<0.001***	0.001***	<0.001***	<0.001***	<0.001***	0.352	0.163	0.21	-	-

Table 3. Physico-chemical and microbiological parameters of Mount Lubwe water.

NTU= Turbidity Unit, HT= Hydrotimetric Titer, TGMA= Total Germs Mesophilic Aerobic, N.T.U = Nephelometric Turbidity Unit, TC= Total Coliforms, C.F.U. = Colony Forming Unit, FC = Fecal Coliforms. Source: Authors

Hierarchical clustering on the factor map



Figure 2. Hierarchical classification of springs based on the physicochemical quality of spring water. 1=ACEKAVU, 2=ACEKA, 3=Thutwa, 4=English, 5= NGELEZA. Source: Authors

Group	Test value	Mean of the variable	Overall average	Standard deviation of the grand mean	p-value
Group I NULL					
Group II Nitrite	1.97134	0.1245	0.0789	0.03777354	0.0486*
Group III TGMA	1.999693	45000	9380	17812.74	0.0455*

TGMA = Total Germs Mesophilic Aerobic.

Source: Authors

Variable		N	Odds ratio		р
Municipality	Kimemi	202		Reference	
	Mususa	199		0.88 (0.56, 1.38)	0.57
Household head occupation	Agents and civil servants of the State	57	•	Reference	
	Artisans	75		0.41 (0.20, 0.83)	0.01
	Farmers	70	-	0.19 (0.08, 0.40)	<0.001
	Maneuver	39	⊷∎→	0.04 (0.01, 0.15)	<0.001
	Private sector employee	44		1.92 (0.83, 4.58)	0.13
	Public sector employee	24	-	2.77 (0.96, 9.29)	0.07
	Traders	92		1.84 (0.92, 3.71)	0.08

Figure 3. Ability to pay water bills based on the professional category of household head. Source: Authors

Variable		N	Odds ratio		р
Municipality	Kimemi	202	-	Reference	
	Mususa	199	۲ 8 -1	0.70 (0.46, 1.06)	0.09
Household head occupation	Agents and civil servants of the State	57		Reference	
	Artisans	75	⊢∎→	0.85 (0.41, 1.76)	0.67
	Farmers	70	⊢∎→	0.96 (0.45, 2.01)	0,91
	Maneuver	39	· •	1.18 (0.49, 2.90)	0.71
	Private sector employee	44	⊶∎→	0.77 (0.34, 1.75)	0,53
	Public sector employee	24	⊢ ∎	0.42 (0.16, 1.11)	0.08
	Traders	92	H.	0.85 (0.42, 1.70)	0.64

Figure 4. The logistic model of the sufficiency of the quantity of water according to the profession of the head of household and his belonging to a municipality. Source: Authors

Variable		N	Odds ratio	្រ
Municipality	Kimemi	202		Reference
	Mususa	199	H H H	0.84 (0.56, 1.27) 0.406
Household head occupation	Agents and civil servants of the State	57	-	Reference
	Artisans	75	⊢-∎	0.37 (0.17, 0.77) 0.00
	Farmers	70	⊢− ■−−1	0.41 (0.19, 0.87) 0.02
	Maneuver	39	• 	0.43 (0.18, 1.01) 0.05
	Private sector employee	44	••	0.94 (0.39, 2.31) 0.88
	Public sector employee	24	• • ••	0.50 (0.18, 1.38) 0.17
	Traders	92		0.61 (0.29, 1.24) 0.17

Figure 5. The logistic regression model explaining the perception of knowledge of water treatment methods in the household.

Source: Authors

Variable		N	Estimate		р		
Age	Between 18 and 25	6		Reference	-		
_	Between 25 and 35	15	I	1.10 (-1.75, 3.94)	0.45		
	Between 35 and 45	22		0.08 (-2.64, 2.79)	0.96		
	Between 45 and 55	17		0.62 (-2.17, 3.41)	0.66		
	Between 55 and 65	9	i 📫	2.02 (-1.28, 5.32)	0.23		
	Between 65 and 75	1	_ _	-8.27 (-1056.55, 1040.01)	0.99		
	Greater than 75	2	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	-0.13 (-4.28, 4.02)	0.95		
Gender	Female	43	l 📫	Reference			
	Male	29		-2.70 (-5.61, 0.21)	0.07		
Occupation	1 Farmers	29		Reference			
	Butchers	1		-10.52 (-2530.78, 2509.74)	0.99		
	Civils servants	3		0.79 (-2.39, 3.98)	0.63		
	Dressmakers	4	i 📫	1.29 (-1.36, 3.93)	0.34		
	Liberals	5		-0.42 (-3.62, 2.79)	0.80		
	Private employee	4		3.36 (-0.41, 7.14)	0.08		
	Responsible for a water supply structure	2	I	-0.11 (-3.34, 3.13)	0.95		
	Taxidriver	3		44.60 (44.60, 44.60)	<0.001		
	Teachers	14		4.19 (0.82, 7.56)	0.01		
	Traders	7	l 📫	3.74 (-0.16, 7.64)	0.06		
Seniority	5 years	10		Reference			
	Between 5-10	60	I	-0.21 (-2.05, 1.63)	0.82		
	less 5 years	2	🗖	-1.76 (-7.87, 4.34)	0.57		
quantity	? to 50 liters	31		Reference			
	Between 20 and 50 liters	41		-1.12 (-2.65, 0.42)	0.15		
	-2000000100000						

Figure 6. The ability of rural actors to pay for water according to socio-demographic variables. Source: Authors

DISCUSSION

Quality analyses of the water of Mount Lubwe revealed a high level of nitrates in the Thutwa and Mukohwa springs. The mean of the test was higher than the mean calculated during the analyses. This high rate of nitrites in the water of the springs of Mount Lubwe can be attributed to the influence of anthropogenic agricultural activities carried out in the landscape of Mount Lubwe. In the agricultural neighborhood, the major source of nitrates comes from



Figure 7. Perceptions of water quantity in peri-urban areas of Mihake and Musienene. Source: Authors

the supply of nitrogenous fertilizers (Collectif Lanutrition.fr, 2008). They can, where appropriate, come from domestic and industrial effluents as well (slaughterhouses, tanneries, etc.) (Festy et al., 2003). The high level of nitrates in drinking water has health consequences for consumers; like the potentially carcinogenic effects (Juneau, 2018). However, "health objectives are among the key components of the framework intended to guarantee water safety" (WHO, 2017). Nitrites with other nitrogenous compounds (N-nitrosamines) can damage genes and cause cancers (oesophagus and stomach) in all animal species (Collectif Lanutrition.fr, 2008). Moreover, its excessive ingestion also reduces the ability of red blood cells to bind and transport oxygen in children (Observatoire régional de la santé Rhône-Alpes, 2007).

However, the WHO (2004) asserts that the vast majority of obviously water-related health problems result from microbial contamination. Hence, there is reason to fear for the health of consumers of the waters from the Ngeleza spring. For this spring, the number of total aerobic mesophilic germs was higher (45000 UFT/ml) than the general threshold value (9380 UFT/ml) and that of the WHO (0 UFT/ml). The presence of germs would be partly linked to the absence of tree cover around the Ngeleza spring. In fact, plant cover in general and forests in particular play an important role in reducing bacterial loads in water as well as heavy metals (Calder et al.,

2007). On the other hand, it would be associated with the existence of contaminants from human and animal waste. given the strong demarcation of human presence in the ecosystem of Mount Lubwe reflected in the activities of agropastoralism and the charcoal production. Most of the microbial and parasitic pollution of water originates from the fecal waste (Festy et al., 2003). This decreases the intrinsic quality of water as asserted by Neuchâtel (2007) for that of foodstuffs. Also, the identification of other germs in the water such as Providencia rettgeri. Aeromonas hydrophila, Ewingelya americana, and Leolercia adecarboxylata is a tangible proof of some pollution for the sources of Mount Lubwe. Most of these contaminants are bacteria that can, in some cases, cause gastroenteritis in children (Marisol, 2010). For instance, A. hydrophila infection can lead to gastrointestinal or nongastrointestinal complications; symptoms for this infection ranging from watery diarrhea to dysenteric or bloody diarrhea and a possibility, if present, of a chronic infection" (Public Health Agency of Canada, 2010).

"Water not only satisfies basic human needs, but also contributes to sustainable development" (WHO, 2017). However, a marginalization in the access to this vital resource is increasingly observed as was the case in the present study with regard to results of the conditions of access to water in the various study sites in the Butembo region. Only people with a stable income (civil servants, merchants, employees) are able to pay their water consumption bills. Low-income social categories (farmers and craftsmen) find it difficult to pay the bill for domestic water use. This situation would be due to the irregularity of income among people of the poor category because water weighs more in the budget of poor households than that of rich households (Smets, 2000). It might also be due to a low level of savings for these households. A similar situation was observed in West Africa where Savina and Mathys (1994) demonstrated that household financial demand and ability to pay money for water only made sense among high-income people and those who can regularly have enough to save (Savina and Mathys, 1994). Furthermore, it is recognized that water consumption increases with household income, but less rapidly than income (Smets, 2000). The social categories with modest incomes from the two areas (rural and urban) have recognized that the quantity of supplied water is sufficient; with a large number of alternative sources in the Butembo region. This situation could be explained by the price of water, which is already rising in both areas. In Butembo, water consumption costs have risen from 3 to 15 US dollars per year and per household. obliging them to resort to alternative sources of water. This shift to alternative sources raises concerns to the WHO (2004) which points out that "the high cost of water can lead people to resort to other sources of lower quality; representing high health risks. It can also lead to a reduction in the amount of water to be used per household"; leading them to self-satisfaction. Moreover, the lack of vehicles, gardens and other infrastructures using water in large quantities would plead of the low water consumption among people in the poor category. In fact, apart from the number of people in the household, sanitary facilities, income and climate which affect the quantities of water to be used, water consumption also varies according to hygiene habits, the life style and/or the presence of the garden in the household (Smets, 2000). However, the results revealed that during shortages the population resorts to many alternative sources of the urban area in Butembo but of dubious quality, majority being traditional wells. Musavandalo (2016) in his study on access to drinking water in Butembo city demonstrated that REGIDESO, the main water supplier in the city, is unable to keep up with the rapid spread of urban space. As a result, the REGIDESO only serves a handful of the population in the central part of Kimemi municipality with water of dubious quality. This exposes the health of the population; the prevalence of waterborne diseases being real in the city.

The question that must currently arise between the drinking water supplier in the Butembo region and the forest managers is to know to what extent this water tower on Mount Lubwe will continue to offer water in quantity and in quality to the close and distant beneficiaries. This is important in view of the degradation of the quality of the supplied water, the anthropization of the landscape and the low willingness to pay for an improved service of access to drinking water. One strategy would be to determine the full economic value of the water storage function of Mount Lubwe in order to clearly define the strategies for its management and compare the latter to the economic value linked to the exploitation of forest resources of Mount Lubwe.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Water is life. But water can be a source of death if it is contaminated. With the aim of checking the compliance of Mount Lubwe's water quality with the standards of the World Health Organization (WHO) as well as determining the access conditions in the urban and periurban areas of Butembo region, it appears that the different modes of use of the resources of Mount Lubwe have negatively affected water quality of the springs of this mountainous ecosystem. The increase in the rate of nitrites and germs in the water is real in the Thutwa, Ngeleza and Mukohwa springs. Furthermore, the conditions of access to water in the area generally reflect a marginalization in the ability to pay for.

These findings lead to the following recommendation:

(1) Creation of buffer zones around springs in order to prevent the nitrous and microbial contamination;

(2) The establishment of a concerted management framework involving all actors (customary chiefs, the state, communities, etc.) would also be promising.

REFERENCES

- Agence de la santé publique du Canada (2010). Fiche Technique Santé-Sécurité : Agents Pathogènes – Aeromonas hydrophila. Canada. Available at: https://www.canada.ca/fr/santepublique/services/biosecurite-biosurete-laboratoire/fiches-techniquessante-securite-agents-pathogenes-evaluation-risques/aeromonashydrophila.html, consulté, le 12/01/2022
- Alégoët P, Rhône D (2006). Controle sanitaire des eaux : Guide de prélèvement des échantillons. https://www.eurofins.fr/media/1207/guide-du-
- pr%C3%A9I%C3%A8vement.pdf
- Allen ME (2005). MacConkey Agar Plates Protocols. https://asm.org/ASM/media/Protocol-Images/MacConkey-Agar-Plates-Protocols.pdf?ext=.pdf
- Bakouma J (2010). Les enjeux de la valorisation économique des écosyqtèmes forestiers dans les pays du bassin du Congo. https://www.cesbc.org/developpement_durable/textes/Les_enjeux_de _la_valorisation_economique.pdf
- Becton F (2003). Mode d'emploi-milieux en flacons partriellement terminés : BD Sabouraud Glucose Agar. BA-257104.01, 5.
- Bigonnesse F, Roy S (2017). Techniques de prélèvement des échantillons pour analyse microbiologique des aliments et de l'eau. https://www.mapaq.gouv.qc.ca/SiteCollectionDocuments/Laboratoire/ Tech_prelevements-echantillons-analyse-microbiologique-alimentseau.pdf
- Bruneau JC, Kasay KA (1981). Some aspects of the birth and impact of the urban phenomenon in the Nande country in North Kivu (Zaire). Geo-Eco-Trop. International Journal of Tropical Ecology and Geography Tervuren 5(2):139-162.
- Calder I, Hofer T, Vermont S, Warren P (2007). Vers une nouvelle compréhension des arbres et des forêts. Unasylva 58(229):3-10.

- CCME (2011). Mnuel des protocoles d'échantillonnages pou l'analyse de la qualité de l'eau au Canada. https://www.pseau.org/outils/ouvrages/ccme_manuel_des_protocoles _d_echantillonage_pour_l_analyse_de_la_qualite_de_l_eau_au_can ada_2011.pdf
- Centre d'expertise en analyse environnementale du Québec. (2014a). Détermination du pH : méthode électrométrique. 3. https://www.ceaeq.gouv.qc.ca/methodes/pdf/MA100pH11.pdf
- Centre d'expertise en analyse environnementale du Québec. (2014b). Recherche et dénombrement des coliformes thermotolérants (fécaux) et confirmation à l'espèce Escherichia coli : Méthode par filtration sur membrane. 5.

https://www.ceaeq.gouv.qc.ca/methodes/pdf/ma700fecec10.pdf

- Chishugi DU, Sonwa DJ, Kahindo JM, Itunda D, Chishugi JB, Félix FL, Sahani M (2021). How Climate Change and Land Use/Land Cover Change Affect Domestic Water Vulnerability in Yangambi Watersheds (D. R. Congo). Land 10(2):21.
- Cibois P (2000). Observation and linear or logistic model: Response to Aris and Hagenaars. BMS: Bulletin of Sociological Methodology/ Bulletin de Méthodologie Sociologique 67:54-64.
- Collectif Lanutrition.fr. (2008). Les nitrates dans l'eau du robinet. https://www.lanutrition.fr/bien-dans-sonassiette/aliments/boissons/eau/les-nitrates-dans-leau-du-robinet,

Consulté, le 12/11/2021 de Wasseige C, Devers D, Đệ P, Atyi R, Nasi R, Mayaux P (2008). Les Forêts du Bassin du Congo :Etat des Forêts 2008.

- https://doi.org/10.2788/32456 El Youssefi I (2014). Critères de récevabilité d'un prélèvement d'eau au Laboratoire de Bactériologie Alimentaire. http://www.lram-fgr.ma/wp-
- content/uploads/2017/12/Crit%C3%A9res-de-recevabilit%C3%A9.pdf Festy B, Hartemann P, Ledrans M, Levallois P, Payment P, Tricard D (2003). Water quality. Environment and Public Health-Foundations and Practices pp. 333-368.
- Hugues B (1981). New use of the most probable number method in virology: application to the identification and quantification of viruses in the water environment (Doctoral dissertation, Paul Verlaine-Metz University.
- Juneau M (2018). Les effets des nitrates et nitrites sur le système cardiovasculaire. https://observatoireprevention.org/2018/03/15/leseffets-des-nitrates-et-nitrites-sur-le-systeme-cardiovasculaire/, Consulté, le 12/11/2021
- Kagheni M (2016). Manuel protocole d'analyses physico-chimiques (Service de physico-chimie et bromatologie 75 p) [Laboratoire Centrale de Recherche]. Université Catholique du Graben.

- Marc Grady (1915). cited by Maul A (1982). Definition of a sampling strategy for the microbiological analysis of surface water (Doctoral dissertation, University Paul Verlaine-Metz.
- Marisol S (2010). La gastro-entérite: CHU Sainte-Justine. https://www.chusj.org/getmedia/2d0c8d02-fb3c-4a3e-b424acffcef2bc81/depliant_F-858_la-gastro enterite_FR.pdf.aspx?ext=.pdf.
- Musavandalo MC (2016). Accès à l'eau potable dans la ville de Butembo : Cas de la commune Kimemi [Rapport de mémoire de DESS]. ERAIFT: 73.
- Ndona Nzey A (2003). La forêt, l'homme et ses besoins vitaux. FAO. https://www.fao.org/3/XII/0949-A1.htm

Neuchâtel J (2007). Germes aérobies mésophiles. https://www.ne.ch/autorites/DDTE/SCAV/denrees-

alimentaires/Documents/FicheAerobies.pdf

Observatoire régional de la santé Rhône-Alpes (2007). Les nitrites: 16.

- Savina A, Mathys A (1994). Urban water supply in disadvantaged neighborhoods: a matter of sharing. Abidjan, grea/West Africa.
- Smets H (2000). Drinking water for the poor. Environmental Policy and Law 3(30):16.
- Stolton S, Dudley N (2007). Gérer les forêts pour fournir de l'eau plus propre aux populations urbaines. In Unasylva 58:39-43.
- Vyakuno KE (2006). Pression anthropique et aménagement rationnel des hautes terres de Lubero en R.D.C. Rapports entre société et milieu physique dans une montagne équatoriale. TOME I. [Géographie, UNIVERSITE TOULOUSE II]. Available at: http://www.theses.fr/2006TOU20006
- Weltgesundheits Organisation (Ed.) (1985). Directives de qualité de l'eau de boisson. 1: Recommandations. 129 p.
- WHO (2004). Guidlines for drinking water quality. 3(1). Available at: https://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/dwq/gdwq3_prel_1a5.pd f?ua=1
- WHO (2017). Guidlines for drinking water quality. 4. Available at: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/258887/9789242549 959-fre.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed
- Xavier B (2000). Dureté de l'eau. http://chimie.scola.acparis.fr/sitedechimie/chi_exp/dosage_complexo/durete_eau.htm
- Yamane T (1967). Statics: An Introductory Analysis (2nd edition). Harper & Row.

Related Journals:



African Journal of **Microbiology Res** arch









www.academicjournals.org